The 36<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Second State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC-II) for mining projects was held on 4<sup>th</sup> June, 2016 under the Chairmanship of Dr. Navin Chandra for discussion on the query responses submitted by the PP and the projects issues received from SEIAA. The following members attended the meeting-

- 1. Dr. Mohd. Akram khan, Member.
- 2. Shri. Mohd. Kasam khan, Member.
- 3. Shri. Prashant Shrivastava, Member.
- 4. Shri. Rajendra Kumar Sharma, Member.

The Chairman welcomed all the members of the Committee and thereafter agenda items were taken up for deliberations.

#### 1. <u>CaseNo.-1145/13 Shri Rakesh Kumar Jain, Post Sahajpur, Tehsil - Patan, Jabalpur (M.P.).</u> <u>Environmental Clearance for Dulhankheda Dolomite & Soapstone Mining Lease of ML</u> <u>Area 0.96 ha with Production Capacity of 5259 TPA at Village-Dulhankheda, Tehsil-</u> <u>Patan, District - Jabalpur (MP)</u>

This is case of Dulhankheda Dolomite & Soapstone Mining. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site, at Khasra No.-115, Village-Dulhankheda, Tehsil- Patan, District - Jabalpur (MP) 0.96 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

The case was earlier discussed in the 121<sup>st</sup> SEAC meeting dated 28/02/2013 wherein it is recorded that the case pertains to category B-1 on the basis mineral proposed for mining. The case was presented by the PP and his consultant before the committee. The presentation reveals that the mined out dolomite and soapstone is being supplied to the local artisans which are involved in Stone-Idol making through handy-craft. PP has submitted a written commitment that the material excavated shall be supplied only to the local artisans. PP has requested to consider the case under B-2 category in view of its size (0.96 Ha) and a very low production capacity. After deliberations committee considered following points pertaining to this mining project:

- The mining project supports the local economy and the cottage industry which is lively-hood of the local public of the region.
- The activity does not involve long route transportation.
- Lease area & Production is very low.
- The mining technology is cleaner without blasting operations.
- The mineral is being utilized by the local artisans only.

04/07/16

Keeping in view the above facts committee decided to issue following TOR to the PP to carry out Rapid EIA and prepare EMP:

- 1. Public Hearing may be exempted.
- 2. Existing baseline data (not older than two years) can be used in the EIA and EMP.
- 3. Detailed plantation scheme and pollution control measures being adopted in the project have to be provided.
- 4. Mine closure plan with activity wise budgetary provisions have to be dealt as a separate chapter in the EIA report.
- 5. Management of OB with details of existing pits & OBsø in the MLA to be detailed out along with recent photographs of the site.
- 6. Photographs showing status of plantation and other efforts being done at site to control pollution.
- 7. Point-wise compliances of the conditions of the Consents issued by MPPCB shall be presented, a copy the same has to be submitted after due validation from MPPCB.
- 8. NOCsø from Forest department, Gram Sabah and other mandatory permissions to be submitted as applicable.
- 9. Other applicable standard TOR shall be followed.

SEIAA vide their letter no. 11845/SEIAA/16 dated 16/03/2016 has reverted the case to SEAC stating that "After detailed discussion of query response in 146<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting dated 12/09/2013, the case was delisted on account of lease area less than 5.00 ha. In the new dispensation these case required EC from SEIAA. It has been decided to revert the case file to SEAC for appraisal".

The case was scheduled for the presentation in the  $30^{\text{th}}$  SEAC II meeting dated 04/06/2016 wherein it is recorded that "Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. The case was earlier also scheduled for presentation in the  $23^{rd}$  SEAC II meeting dated 06/05/2016 but PP remain absent. Committee decided to give last chance to the PP in subsequent meetings and even it the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project".

The case was again scheduled for the presentation today but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in the 23<sup>rd</sup> SEAC II and 30<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities have been given to the PP for appraisal and consideration of the project wherein

PP remain absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

#### 2. <u>Case No. – 1222/2013 M/s. Transstroy (India) Ltd., House no. 8-3-833,opp. Tanveer</u> <u>Hospital, Main Road, kamalapuri Colony, Hyderabad. Environment Clearance for</u> <u>approval Stone/Boulder & Murrum Quarry of 3.642 Hectares for production capacity-</u> <u>3,00,000 cum/year, at Khasra no.-183, at Vill.-Mahukala, Teh.-Budhni, Dist.-Sehore (M.P.)</u>

This is case of Stone/Boulder & Murrum Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site, at Khasra no.-183, at Vill.-Mahukala, Teh.-Budhni, Dist.-Sehore (M.P.) 3.642 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

The case was scheduled for the presentation in the  $30^{\text{th}}$  SEAC II meeting dated 04/06/2016 wherein it is recorded that "Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. The case was earlier also scheduled for presentation in the  $23^{rd}$  SEAC II meeting dated 06/05/2016 but PP remain absent. Committee decided to give last chance to the PP in subsequent meetings and even it the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project".

The case was again scheduled for the presentation wherein the PP and their consultant were present. During presentation it was brought to the notice of committee that SEIAA vide their letter no. 690/SEIAA/16 dated 18/04/2016 has sent the case to SEAC stating that "this is a case of extension of validity of EC based on the extension of lease period for additional two years by collector Sehore. PP has submitted the mining plan duly approved by competent authority. Air and Water consent and total material excavated during the EC period. It has been decided to send the case to SEAC for appraisal".

During presentation PP submitted that very minimum volume of mineral is excavated from the site as the mineral required for the project was held up due to some clearances from the other departments. After presentation, PP was asked to submit details on following:

- 1. Point wise compliance of earlier EC conditions with recent photographs.
- 2. Point wise compliance of consent conditions of the MP Pollution Control Board.

### 3. <u>Case No. - 1437/2013Shri Rajendra Deshmukh, Village Miragpur, Tehsil Khairlanji, Distt.</u> <u>Balaghat (M.P.). Environmental Clearance for Miragpur Manganese Ore Deposit Mine of</u> <u>4.20 hectare in village Miragpur Tehsil Khairlanji Distt. Balaghat (M. P.)</u>

This is case of Miragpur Manganese Ore Depposit Mine. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site, Khasra No. 6 241/2, 241/5-3, 241/7, 241/4, 239, 238/1, 237/1, 237/5, 232/1, 233 Part, 234, Part, 235 Part, 237/3, 238/2, 237/2, 237/6-8, 241/8, 241/11, Village ó Miragpur, Tehsil- Khairlanji, Distt. ó Balaghat (M.P.).4.20 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

The case was scheduled for the presentation in the  $23^{rd}$  SEAC II meeting dated 06/05/2016 wherein it is recorded that "Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the  $03^{rd}$  SEAC II meeting dated 04/02/2016. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project".

The case was again scheduled for the presentation today but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in the 23<sup>rd</sup> SEAC II and 03<sup>rd</sup> SEAC II meeting. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities have been given to the PP for appraisal and consideration of the project wherein PP remain absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

#### 4. <u>Case No. – 1447/2013 Shri Mahendra Singh Solanki, Village Baman khedi, Tehsil- Jaor,</u> <u>Dist. Ratlam. Environmental clearance for approval of 2.0 ha stone mine in Village</u> <u>Sindurkiya, Thasile jaora, Dist. Ratlam</u>

This is case of stone mine. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is at Khasra no.-355, Village Sindurkiya, Thasile jaora, Dist. Ratlam 2.00 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 500 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.-561, dated: - 26/09/14, has reported that there are 02 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 6.450 ha including this mine.

The case was scheduled for the presentation in the 33<sup>rd</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 24/06/2016 wherein it is recorded that "Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Previously the case was scheduled in the 29<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 03/06/2016 but PP remains absent. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings giving last chance and even it the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project".

The case was again scheduled for the presentation today but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in the 33<sup>rd</sup> SEAC II and 29<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities have been given to the PP for appraisal and consideration of the project wherein PP remain absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

#### 5. <u>Case No. – 1641/2013 Shri Prabhakar Mishra</u> (Owner) 336/14, Civil Line Road, <u>Tikamgarh, Distt.- Tikamgarh (M.P.) – 472001. Nandanwara Pyrophyllite and Diaspore</u> <u>Ore Mines Lease Area – 8.640 ha., at Khasra No.- Part of 1,3 and 10, Vill.- - Nandanwara,</u> <u>Teh.- – Mohangarh (Jatara), Distt. – Tikamgarh (M.P.) Capacity – 7000 - TPA</u>

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra No. - Part of 1, 3 and 10, Vill. - - Nandanwara, Teh. - ó Mohangarh (Jatara), Distt. ó Tikamgarh (M.P.) 8.640 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

The case was scheduled for the presentation in the 33<sup>rd</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 24/06/2016 wherein it is recorded that "Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in the 214<sup>st</sup>SEAC meeting dated 20/08/2015 and 261<sup>st</sup> SEAC dated 08/01/2016. Committee decided that last chance should be given to the PP for appraisal and consideration of the project even if PP remain absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project".

The case was again scheduled for the presentation today but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also

absent in the 33<sup>rd</sup> SEAC II and 261<sup>st</sup> SEAC meeting. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities have been given to the PP for appraisal and consideration of the project wherein PP remain absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

#### 6. <u>Case No. – 1658/13 Shri Shrikant Pandey, S/o Shri Janardan Pandey, R/o- Gandhigram,</u> <u>Tehsil Sihora, District Jabalpur -483225, Madhya Pradesh. Environmental Clearance for</u> <u>approval of Manganese, Laterite & Iron Ore Mining Lease (Area 4.67 ha) near at Khasra</u> <u>no.-348, 350, 443/761, 414, 349, 351, Village: Hirdenagar, Tehsil: Sihora, District: Jabalpur</u> (Madhya Pradesh)

This is case of Manganese, Laterite & Iron Ore Mining. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at Khasra no.-348, 350, 443/761, 414, 349, 351, Village: Hirdenagar, Tehsil: Sihora, District: Jabalpur (MP).4.67 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

The TOR was approved for this project in the 135<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 04/06/2013 wherein it is recorded that *"after deliberations committee has approved the TOR for carrying out EIA and prepare EMP for the project with inclusion of following points:* 

- Chemical Composition of the mineral proposed to be excavated shall be reported.
- Grades and usage of the minerals proposed to be mined out shall be provided with proposed users of the same.
- > Detailed CSR with activity-wise budget break-up to be provided.
- Solid waste (OB) generated is proposed to be used for carpeting along the national highway; supporting evidential documents in this regard to be submitted (Agreement, MoU etc. With the concerned authority).
- > Other standard TORs' shall be applicable".

TOR was issued to the PP vide letter no. 656 dated 20/08/2013 with validity up to 19/08/2015. Later on the case was delisted in 146<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting dated 12/09/2013. The case was again relisted by SEIAA as per their 276<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 28/12/2015 and the case was sent to SEAC for re-appraisal.

The case was scheduled for the presentation in the 23<sup>rd</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 06/05/2016 wherein it is recorded that "Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 18<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 13/04/2016. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation

in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project".

The case was scheduled for the presentation today wherein PP and their consultant was present. During presentation PP requested that as per the TOR they have collected that data for this project but the TOR has been expired and requested for the extension of validity for one year as they will be able to submit the EIA before 20/08/2016. PP has also submitted written request for TOR validity extension. The TOR was approved in the 136<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 04/06/2013 and the same was issued to the PP vide letter no. 656 dated 20/08/2013. The validity of TOR expired on 19/08/2015. PP vide their letter dated 04.07.16 has requested for TOR extension. After deliberations, the committee recommends the case for extension in TOR for one year with validity up to 19/08/16.

## 7. <u>Case No. – 1665/13</u> <u>M/s Vidhi Alias Pvt. Ltd., Bhiktiyog Apartment, Nargundkar, Layout Khamala Road, Nagpur (MH). Environment Clearance for approval of 06.229 Hectare Manganese Ore at Vill.- Katangjhari, Teh.-Lalbarra, Dist.-Balaghat (M.P.)</u>

This is case of Manganese Ore. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra no.-982, 984/2, 985, Vill.-Katangjhari, Teh. - Lalbarra, Dist.-Balaghat (M.P.) 6.229 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

The case was discussed in the 143<sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dated 29/10/2013 wherein after deliberations committee has approved the proposed TOR for the project with inclusion of following additional points to prepare EIA / EMP:

- 1. NOC from gram Sabah has to be obtained mentioning all the issues such as use of water and other resources from the nearby villages and grazing land for the cattle.
- 2. The stripping ratio is reported to be 1:6 thus huge quantity of waste is expected from the mining; hence OB management has to be detailed out as a separate chapter.
- 3. Approved Mining Plan has to be submitted.
- 4. Mine Closure plan complying the conditions of Mining Plan to be detailed out.
- 5. Other standard TOR shall be applicable.

The case was discussed in the  $33^{rd}$  SEAC II meeting dated 24/06/2016 wherein it is recorded that "Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Previously the case was scheduled in the  $30^{th}$  SEAC II meeting dated

04/06/2016 but PP remains absent. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings giving last chance and even it the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project".

The case was scheduled for presentation today wherein PP and their consultant were also present. PP requested that they are waiting for the DFO certificate which is in process and likely to be issued within a monthøs time and requested for presentation in the month of August, 2016. PP has also submitted a written request for this vides their letter dated 27/06/2016. After deliberations, committee decided that on the request of PP case may be listed in the month of August, 2016 or any meeting after August, 2016 for the presentation.

#### 8. <u>Case No.-1679/13 M/s Tall Minerals, 7/26, Tilak Ward, Civil Lines, District-Mandla (MP).</u> <u>Environment Clearance for approval of 4.450 ha. Limestone/Dolomite mine at Khasra no.-</u> <u>36, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 30 & 32, Village - Bhanwartal, Tehsil-Bichhiya, Distt-Mandla (M.P.)</u>

This is case of Limestone/Dolomite mine. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at Khasra no.-36, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 30 & 32, Village - Bhanwartal, Tehsil-Bichhiya, Distt-Mandla (M.P.) 4.450 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

The case was earlier discussed in the 23<sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dated 06/05/2016 wherein it is recorded that "Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 18<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 13/04/2016. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project".

The case was scheduled for presentation today wherein PP and their consultant were present. During presentation PP submitted that their mine plan has been expired and they have submitted new mine plan for approval to the competent authority. PP has also submitted a written request for this vides their letter dated 04/07/2016. Committee after deliberations decided that on the request of PP 02 months time may be given to PP for submission of mine plan through SEIAA.

9. <u>Case No.-1681/13 Shri Jaheer Ahamed, M/s. Ismile & Sons, Mission Chowk, District – Katni (M.P.). Prior Environmental clearance for Limestone Mine (Opencast Manual/Semi Mechanized Method) in area 3.670 ha. at Khasra No. 185, 187, 188, 183/2, 187/1, Village – Devsari Tehsil – Vijayraghavgarh, Distt. Katni</u>

This is a mining project with lease area of 3.670 Ha. Mining of Limestone is proposed to the tune of 45000 TPA. The project falls under the purview of EIA notification in accordance to the MoEF O.M. dated 18/05/2012. The case has been categorized as category B-1 project as per the guidelines of SEIAA. The application was forwarded by SEIAA for issuance of TOR to carry out EIA and prepare EMP for the project. Project was presented by the PP and his consultant before the committee in the meeting, which reveals following:

The mining lease was granted in favour of M/s. Ismail & Sons, Mission Chowk, Katni (M.P.) near village Devsari, Tehsil Vijayraghavgarh, District Katni over an area of 3.670 hect. In Khasra No. 185, 186, 187, 188, 183/2, 187/1 by Mineral Resource Department, Bhopal Govt. of Madhya Pradesh vide letter No. 3-7217/12 Bhopal, dated 8/6/1976 for a period of 20 years and further renewal is pending.

The TOR was recommended for this lease in the  $135^{\text{th}}$  SEAC meeting dated 04/06/2013 but the case was delisted as per the decision taken in  $146^{\text{th}}$  SEIAA meeting dated 12/09/2013. The case was again relisted in the  $255^{\text{th}}$  SEIAA meeting dated 02/11/2015.

The case was scheduled for presentation in the 33<sup>rd</sup> SEAC meeting dated 24/06/2016 but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to give last chance to the PP in subsequent meetings and even it the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

The case was again scheduled for the presentation today but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in the 33<sup>rd</sup> SEAC II dated 24/06/2016. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities have been given to the PP for appraisal and consideration of the project wherein PP remain absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

### 10.<u>Case No. – 2326/15 Shri Pawan Mishra S/o Shri Narayan Mishra, Vill.-Badgonda, Teh.-</u> <u>Mhow, District-Indore (MP)-453441. Prior Environment Clearance for approval of</u> <u>proposed Stone Quarry in an area of 4.00 ha. at Village-Badgonda, Tehsil-Mhow, District-Indore (MP)</u>

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra no.-286/3, 285/782, Village-Badgonda, Tehsil-Mhow, District-Indore (MP) 2.50 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 500 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vides letter no. 441, dated: 18/05/15 has reported that there are 07 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 9.223 ha including this mine.

The case was scheduled for presentation in the 30<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 04/06/2016 wherein it is recorded that õNeither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. The case was earlier discussed in the 09<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 24/02/2016 wherein after presentation PP was asked to submit revised surface map duly approved by the competent authority which is so far not submitted by the PP. Committee decided to give last chance to the PP in subsequent meetings and even it the PP remains absent or does not provide the desired information, the case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the projectö.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant wherein PP requested that they will submit the revised surface map addressing the issues raised by SEIAA in their 258<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 18/11/2015 and 09<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 24/02/2016 within a monthøs time. Committee after deliberations decided that on the request of PP one month time may be given to PP for submission of revised surface map as per the decision of 09<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 24/02/2016.

# 11.<u>Case No. 3523/15 Shri Sumit Khandooja S/o Shri Kuldeep Khanooja, Near State Bank of India, P.O. & District-Dindori (MP)-481880. Prior E.Cfor approval of Stone Quarry in an area of 0.870 ha. (10,000 cum/year) at Khasra No.-90, Vill.-Jamunia, Teh.-Dindori, <u>District-Dindori (MP)</u></u>

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is at Khasra No.-90, Vill.-Jamunia, Teh.-Dindori, District-Dindori (MP) 0.870 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 500 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.559, dated: 26/08/15 has reported that there is no more mine operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was earlier discussed in the 227<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 19/09/2015 wherein the EMS and other submissions made by the PP were found to be satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure  $A\alpha$ 

1. Production of Stone not exceeding 10,000 m3/Year as per mine plan with depth restriction of 12 meters.

SEIAA vide letter no. 1496/SEIAA/16 dated 16/05/2016 sent back the file to SEAC for reappraisal as co-ordinates issued by DFO does not matches with the co-ordinates provided by the PP.

The case was scheduled for presentation in the 30<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 04/06/2016 wherein it is recorded that "Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to give last chance to PP in subsequent meetings and even it the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project".

The case was again scheduled for the presentation today but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in the 30<sup>th</sup> SEAC II dated 04/06/2016. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities have been given to the PP for appraisal and consideration of the project wherein PP remain absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

#### 12.<u>Case No. – 3157/2015 Smt. Seema Sheikh W/o Shri Firoz Sheikh, 58, Ramnagar, Dewas</u> (M.P.)-455001. Stone Gitti Quarry Lease Area - 3.00 Capacity - 15,000 cum/year, at Khasra No.-378, 379, Vill.-Dungariya, Teh.-Dewas, Dist-Dewas (M.P.)

### SECOND STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE-2 (MINING) MINUTES OF THE 36<sup>th</sup> MEETING

This is a case of mining of Stone Gitti Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra No.-378, 379, Village-Dungariya, Tehsil-Dewas, Dist-Dewas (M.P.) 3.00ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 500 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no. 396 dated: 17/3/15, has reported that there is 01 more mine operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine and Total Area-7.00 ha including this mine.

The case was scheduled for discussion in the 29<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 03/06/2016 but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings and even it the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

The case was aging scheduled for presentation in the 33<sup>rd</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 24/06/2016 wherein it is recorded that "*Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to last chance to PP in subsequent meetings and even it the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project".* 

The case was again scheduled for the presentation today but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in the 29<sup>th</sup> SEAC-II meeting and 33<sup>rd</sup> SEAC II meeting. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities have been given to the PP for appraisal and consideration of the project wherein PP remain absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

### 13.Case No. 4111/15 M/s Vasudha Minerals & Chemicals, Pooja Residency, D.G. 4, Opposite Vishal Sabhagrah, Koradi Road, Mankapur, Nagpura (Maharashtra)-440030. Prior Environment Clearance for approval of Dolomite Mine in an area of 3.084 ha. (29,835 TPA) at Khasra no.-105, Village-Malegaon, Tehsil-Saunsar, District-Chhindwara (MP)

This is case of Dolomite Mine. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at Khasra no.-105, Village-Malegaon, Tehsil-Saunsar, District-Chhindwara (MP) 3.084 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 500 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.1894, dated: - 27/08/15, has reported that there are 04 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 31.412 ha including this mine.

The case was scheduled for presentation in the 23<sup>rd</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 06/05/2016 wherein it is recorded that "Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 11<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 09/03/2016. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project".

The case was again scheduled for the presentation today but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in the 11<sup>th</sup> SEAC-II meeting and 23<sup>rd</sup> SEAC II meeting. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities have been given to the PP for appraisal and consideration of the project wherein PP remain absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

# 14.<u>Case No.4559/15 Shri Banspati Singh, Prop., M/s Prabhat Stone Crusher R/o Village-Kakalpur Block, Tehsil-Amarpatan, District-Satna (MP)-485778. Prior Environment Clearance for approval of Stone Quarry in an area of 0.449 ha. (4,000 cum/year) at Khasra No.- 547, Village-Kakalpur, Tehsil-Amarpatan, District-Satna (MP)</u>

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at Khasra No. - 547, Village-Kakalpur, Tehsil-Amarpatan, District-Satna (MP) of 0.449 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 500 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.1606, dated: -

06/10/15, has reported that there are 02 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 39.104 ha including this mine.

The case was scheduled for presentation in the 23<sup>rd</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 06/05/2016 wherein it is recorded that "Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 11<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 09/03/2016. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project".

The case was again scheduled for the presentation today but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in the 11<sup>th</sup> SEAC-II meeting and 23<sup>rd</sup> SEAC II meeting. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities have been given to the PP for appraisal and consideration of the project wherein PP remain absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

#### 15.Case No.4834/15 Shri Sanjay Tiwari R/o 1074, Ganga Sagar, Shukla Nagar, Garha, <u>District-Jabalpur (MP)-482001. Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area</u> of 2.00 ha. (66,000 cum/year) at Khasra No.-17/1, Village-Manegaon, Tehsil-Jabalpur, <u>District-Jabalpur (MP)</u>

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at Khasra No.-17/1, Village-Manegaon, Tehsil-Jabalpur, District-Jabalpur (MP) of 2.00 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 500 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.1743, dated: - 15/09/15, has reported that there are 16 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of-34.53 ha including this mine.

The case was scheduled for presentation in the 23<sup>rd</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 06/05/2016 wherein it is recorded that *"Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 11<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting dated* 

09/03/2016. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project".

The case was again scheduled for the presentation today but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in the 11<sup>th</sup> SEAC-II meeting and 23<sup>rd</sup> SEAC II meeting. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities have been given to the PP for appraisal and consideration of the project wherein PP remain absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

### 16.<u>Case No.4874/15 Shri Rajendra Singh Bais, Village-Mangrol, Tehsil-Ujjain, District-Ujjain</u> (MP)-456010. Prior Environment Clearance for Stone Quarry in an area of 1.00 ha. (14,300 cum/year) at Khasra No.- 298(Part), Village-Jalalkhedi, Tehsil-Ujjain, District-Ujjain (MP)

This is case of Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is at Khasra No. - 298(Part), Village-Jalalkhedi, Tehsil-Ujjain, District-Ujjain (MP) 1.00 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 500 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.-1690, dated: - 18/06/15, has reported that there are 13 more mines operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of 32.06 ha including this mine.

The case was scheduled for presentation in the 30<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 04/06/2016 wherein it is recorded that "Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. The case was earlier also scheduled for presentation in the 18<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 13/04/2016 but PP remain absent. Committee decided to give last chance to the PP in subsequent meetings and even it the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA for delisting assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project".

The case was again scheduled for the presentation today but neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any

commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Earlier PP was also absent in the 18<sup>th</sup> SEAC-II meeting and 30<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting. Committee decided that since sufficient opportunities have been given to the PP for appraisal and consideration of the project wherein PP remain absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project.

## 17.<u>Case No. - 4932/2016 Shri Sai Industries, Shop No. 3, Bengali Club Market, Jabalpur (MP)Prior Environment Clearance for Laterite, Iron Ore & Manganese Ore Mine in an area of 22.00 ha. (3,00,914 TPA) at Khasra No.-1/1, Village-Dhamdha, Tehsil-Sihora, District-Jabalpur (MP)</u>

This is case of Laterite, Iron Ore & Manganese Ore Mine. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site at Khasra No.-1/1, Village-Dhamdha, Tehsil-Sihora, District-Jabalpur (MP) of 22.00 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 500 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.2103, dated: - 16/11/15, has reported that there is 01 more mine operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine with total area of-61.44 ha including this mine.

The case was scheduled for presentation in the 23<sup>rd</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 06/05/2016 wherein it is recorded that "Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. PP was also absent in the 12<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 10/03/2016. Committee decided to give last chance to PP for making presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC after which the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project".

The case was scheduled for presentation today wherein PP and their consultant were present. PP submitted that their lease is within 250 meters of forest land and thus they have applied for the Divisional Committee for hearing. PP further submitted that due to above reasons, three months time may be given to them for obtaining Divisional Committee recommendations. PP has also submitted a written request for this vides their letter dated 30/06/2016 and 04/07/2016. Committee after deliberations decided that on the request of PP 02 monthøs time may be given to PP for submission of Divisional level Committee recommendations.

#### 18.<u>Case No. -5173/16 Shri Raman Singh Yadav S/o Shri Mohan Singh Yadav, Lessee, Vidisha</u> <u>Road, Lambardar Gali, 8/345, District-Ashok Nagar (MP)-402408. Prior Environment</u>

#### <u>Clearance for Sand Deposit Mining Quarry in an area of 6.355 ha. (3,040 cum/year) at</u> <u>Khasra No.-01, Village-Kelaras, Tehsil-Shadora, District-Ashok Nagar (MP)</u>

This is case of Sand Deposit Mining Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra No.-01, Village-Kelaras, Tehsil-Shadora, District-Ashok Nagar (MP) 6.355 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 1000 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no. --, dated: ---, has reported that there is no more mine operating or proposed within 1000 meters around the said mine.

The case was earlier discussed in the 25<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 17/05/2016 wherein it is recorded that "*The case was scheduled for the presentation today but PP or his authorized representative remains absent. The committee decided to give another chance to PP for presentation in the upcoming meetings of SEAC and even if PP remains absent case may be recommended for delisting to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to takeup the project".* 

The case was again scheduled for presentation today but PP remains absent. However, a complaint was received for this project which was placed before the committee. The Committee observed that as per the complaints, villagers of Gram-Kailars have submitted that the operation of mine will affect adversely as the distance is only 150 meters from the village. It is further submitted that two different certificates are issued by the Tehsildar and Collector for the same mine which are different from each other. It was also observed by the committee on perusal of the file that Tehsildar vide letter no. 88 dated 07/04/2015 has stated that within 500 meters of mine a village and a school is in existence while the certificate issued by the Collector (which is submitted as Annexure-4) states that village is in existence which is approx. 500 meters away. Committee further examined the certificate issued by the õOffice of the Gram Panchayat-Padamchata, Gram- Kailarasö, issued vide letter no. 03 dated 27/12/2011 which states that sand is transported from the sindh river but sand mine is not in existence.

The committee after deliberations decided that a clarification may be obtained from the Collector on issuance of two certificates which are different from each other and PP may be

asked to submit certified copy of Gram Sabahøs "उहराव प्रस्ताव" for further consideration of the project within 30 days for further consideration of the project.

#### 19.Case No. -5179/16 Shri Swatantra Mishra, Prop., M/s Swatantra Group, Road No. 3, Neha Nagar, District-Sagar (MP)-470113. Prior Environment Clearance for Sand Quarry Deposit in an area of 5.50 ha. (22,649 cum/year) at Khasra No.-01, Village-Lakhahar, Tehsil-Bina, District-Sagar (MP)

This is case of Sand Quarry Deposit. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra No.-01, Village-Lakhahar, Tehsil-Bina, District-Sagar (MP) 5.50 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 1000 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no. --, dated: ---, has reported that there is --- more mine operating or proposed within 1000 meters around the said mine.

The case was earlier discussed in the 28<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 02/06/2016 wherein it is recorded that "Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. Committee decided to call the PP in subsequent meetings and even it the PP remains absent, the case shall be returned to SEIAA assuming that PP is not interested to continue with the project".

The case was scheduled for the presentation today but PP vide letter dated 04/07/2016 requested that due to illness he is unable to attend today meeting and be given another opportunity for presentation. Committee after deliberation decided that considering the request of PP another opportunity may be given to him for presentation in the subsequent meetings of SEAC.

#### **DISCUSSION OF CASES ON QUERY REPLY SUBMITTED BY PP"s**

#### 20.<u>Case No. -5163/16 Shri Vinay Kumar, Sub Lessee of M.P. State Mining Corporation</u> <u>Limited, 83, Rudra Enclave, Opposite of Collectorate, Malakhedi Road, Hoshangabad</u> (MP)-402001 Prior Environment Clearance for River Sand Quarry in an area of 6.40 ha.

## (8,000 cum/year) at Khasra no.-1, 134, Village-Khajuriya Kanka, Tehsil-Sonkacch, District-Dewas (MP)

This is case of River Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site, at Khasra no.-1, 134, Village-Khajuriya Kanka, Tehsil-Sonkacch, District-Dewas (MP) 6.40 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 1000 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.-2209, dated:-02/12/15, has reported that there is no more mine operating or proposed within 1000 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and OIC, MP SMCL in the 28<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 02/06/2016 wherein as per the Google image of December, 2015 based on the co-ordinates provided by the PP it was observed that the entire lease area is submerged in water. OIC, MP SMCL submitted that enough sand is available during summer season. Committee after deliberations asked OIC, MP SMCL to submit recent photographs of the lease area showing avaibality of sand.

PP has submitted the photographs of the site vide letter dated 25/06/2016 stating the QL area is not submerged in water. Committee examined the photographs submitted by the PP and observed that the sanctioned volume of sand can be excavated from the QL as per the photographs and thus the committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure  $\exists B\alpha$ 

- Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 8,000 cum/year.
- District Authority should record the deposition of sand in the lease area at an interval of 50 meters annually in the last week of September and maintain the records in RL (Reduce Level) Measurement Book. Accordingly authority may allow lease holder to excavate the replenished quantity of sand in the subsequent year.
- Evacuation of sand should not be allowed through the roads passing through the villages.
- Heavy vehicles (Hywa) should not be allowed on Kachcha, narrow roads.
- If causeway (Rapta) is required to be constructed for mining. It should be removed completely before rainy season every year.
- The river bank from where access ramps are made should be restored and access should be closed every year before rainy season.

• No diversion of active channel should be allowed for mining.

### 21.Case No. -5193/16 Shri Kailash Nerkar S/o Shri Kesab Rao Nerkar, Owner, Shah Bazar, <u>Burhanpur (MP)-450221 Prior Environment Clearance for Metal Stone Quarry in an area</u> of 7.200 ha. (49,590 cum/year) at Khasra No.-53, Village-Dabalikhurd, Tehsil-Nepanagar, <u>District-Burhanpur (MP)</u>

This is case of Metal Stone Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra No.-53, Village-Dabalikhurd, Tehsil-Nepanagar, District-Burhanpur (MP) 7.200 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 500 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vides letter no. 7969, dated: 18/12/15 has reported that there is no more mine operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant in the  $30^{\text{th}}$  SEAC II meeting dated 04/06/2016 wherein after presentation PP was asked to submit following information:

- 1. 500 meters map showing the environmental sentivity features.
- 2. Revised land use details as in the submitted documents road area is shown as 00.00
- 3. Details of proposed garland drain and settling tanks with their location on map.
- 4. Revised production map considering 03 meter depth every year.

The PP vide letter dated 29/06/2016 has submitted the reply which was placed before the committee for further evaluation. The committee after deliberation observed that the PP has submitted the desired information which is satisfactory and acceptable, hence committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure  $\pm A\alpha$ 

• Production of Stone as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 49,590 cum/year.

# 22.<u>Case No.5229/15 Shri Chandar Singh S/o Shri Rai Singh, Vill. - Arthi, Teh. - Alirajpur, Dist. - Alirajpur (MP) – 457887. Prior Environment Clearance for River Sand Auction Deposit Quarry in an area of 5.740 ha. (50,024 cum/year) at Khasra no.-31, 79, & 116, Village-Arthi, Tehsil - Alirajpur, Dist. Alirajpur (MP)</u>

This is case of River Sand Auction Deposit Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra no.-31, 79, & 116, Village-Arthi, Tehsil - Alirajpur, Dist. Alirajpur (MP) 5.740 Ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 500 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no. 190, dated: 13/05/16 has reported that there is no more mine operating or proposed within 500 meters around the said mine.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant in the  $32^{nd}$  SEAC meeting dated 23/06/2016 wherein after deliberations PP was asked to submit:

- 1. Revised plantation scheme based on the native species of the area.
- 2. An affidavit by PP stating that minimg will be done manually as in mine plan in õMethod of Miningö it is mentioned that mining will be done by õsemi mechanized methodsö using JCB machines.
- 3. Revised EMP as in proposed EMP drilling & blasting is also proposed.

The PP vide letter dated 27/06/2016 has submitted the reply which was placed before the committee for further evaluation. The committee after deliberation observed that the PP has submitted the desired information which is satisfactory and acceptable and thus the committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure  $\exists B\alpha$ 

- Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 50,024 cum/year.
- District Authority should record the deposition of sand in the lease area at an interval of 50 meters annually in the last week of September and maintain the records in RL (Reduce Level) Measurement Book. Accordingly authority may allow lease holder to excavate the replenished quantity of sand in the subsequent year.
- Evacuation of sand should not be allowed through the roads passing through the villages.
- Heavy vehicles (Hywa) should not be allowed on Kachcha, narrow roads.
- If causeway (Rapta) is required to be constructed for mining. It should be removed completely before rainy season every year.
- The river bank from where access ramps are made should be restored and access should be closed every year before rainy season.

• No diversion of active channel should be allowed for mining.

# 23.<u>Case No.4189/15 Shri Dharmendra Patel, Village-Ghuruaa post- Urduaa, Tehsil-Pnagar, District-Jabalpur (MP). Prior Environment Clearance for approval of Sand Quarry in an area of 16.00 ha. (1,28,000 cum/year) at Khasra No.- 382, Village-Gudakalan, Tehsil-Badwara, District-Katni (MP)</u>

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is at Khasra No. - 382, Village-Gudakalan, Tehsil-Badwara, District-Katni (MP) 16.00 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 1000 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.-4040, dated: -29/06/15, has reported that there is no more mine operating or proposed within 1000 meters around the said mine.

SEIAA vides their letter no. 12228/SEIAA/16 dated 18/03/2016 have forwarded the replenishment plan of the above lease.

The case was presented by Shri Rohit C. Gautam, OIC, MPSMCL and OIC, MP SMCL, Katni in the 27<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 25/05/2016 wherein it was observed entire river is allotted as lease thus committee decided that 10 meter area be left from the both banks of the QL as no mining area to avoid bank erosion

After presentation and deliberations PP was asked to submit following informations:-

- a. Land Use Pattern of the QL.
- b. Costs benefit analysis and revised budget for CSR.
- c. Revised water balance.

The PP vide letter dated 16/06/2016 has submitted the reply which was placed before the committee for further evaluation. The committee after deliberation observed that the PP has submitted the desired information which is satisfactory and acceptable and thus the committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure  $\exists B\alpha$ 

• Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 1,28,000 cum/year.

- District Authority should record the deposition of sand in the lease area at an interval of 100 meters annually in the last week of September and maintain the records in RL (Reduce Level) Measurement Book. Accordingly authority may allow lease holder to excavate the replenished quantity of sand in the subsequent year.
- Evacuation of sand should not be allowed through the roads passing through the villages.
- Heavy vehicles (Hywa) should not be allowed on Kachcha, narrow roads.
- If causeway (Rapta) is required to be constructed for mining. It should be removed completely before rainy season every year.
- The river bank from where access ramps are made should be restored and access should be closed every year before rainy season.
- No diversion of active channel should be allowed for mining.

#### 24.<u>Case No. -5162/16 Shri Akash Gupta, Sub Lessee of MPSMCL, Sub Office-Harda,</u> <u>Paryavas Bhawna, Block-A, 2nd Floor, Jail Road, Arera Hills, Bhopal (MP)-462011 Prior</u> <u>Environment Clearance for River Sand Quarry in an area of 6.700 ha. (14,000</u> <u>cum/year) at Khasra no.-1, 116, 173, Village-Surakheda, Tehsil-Sonkachh, District-Dewas</u> (MP)

This is case of River Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site, at Khasra no.-1, 116, 173, Village-Surakheda, Tehsil-Sonkachh, District-Dewas (MP) 6.700 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 1000 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vide letter no.-2210, dated:-02/12/15, has reported that there are 05 more mines operating or proposed within 1000 meters around the said mine with total area of -49.92 ha including this mine.

The case was presented by the PP and OIC, MP SMCL in the 28<sup>th</sup> SEAC II meeting dated 02/06/2016 wherein as per the Google image of December, 2015 based on the co-ordinates provided by the PP it was observed that the entire lease area is submerged in water. OIC, MP SMCL submitted that enough sand is available during summer season. Committee after deliberations asked OIC, MP SMCL to submit recent photographs of the lease area showing avaibality of sand.

PP has submitted the photographs of the site vide letter dated 25/06/2016 stating the QL area is not submerged in water. Committee examined the photographs submitted by the PP and observed that the sanctioned volume of sand can be excavated from the QL as per the photographs and thus the committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure  $\exists \beta \alpha$ 

- Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 14,000 cum/year.
- District Authority should record the deposition of sand in the lease area at an interval of 50 meters annually in the last week of September and maintain the records in RL (Reduce Level) Measurement Book. Accordingly authority may allow lease holder to excavate the replenished quantity of sand in the subsequent year.
- Evacuation of sand should not be allowed through the roads passing through the villages.
- Heavy vehicles (Hywa) should not be allowed on Kachcha, narrow roads.
- If causeway (Rapta) is required to be constructed for mining. It should be removed completely before rainy season every year.
- The river bank from where access ramps are made should be restored and access should be closed every year before rainy season.
- No diversion of active channel should be allowed for mining.

#### 25.<u>Case No. -3878/15 Shri Anurag Singh, Partner, M/s Singh Associates, R/o Madhav Nagar,</u> <u>District-Gwalior (MP)-483440. Prior Environment Clearance for approval of Marble Mine</u> <u>in an area of 1.83 ha. (3,000 cum/year) at Khasra No.-118, Village-Amoch, Tehsil-</u> <u>Bahoriband, District-Katni (MP)</u>

This is case of **Marble Mine.** The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is located at Khasra No.-118, Village-Amoch, Tehsil-Bahoriband, District-Katni (MP) 1.83 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant in the 252<sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting dated 17/12/2015. Being itøs a case of Marble mining and falls under B-1 category committee recommended to issue standard TOR prescribed by the MoEF&CC for conducting the EIA with following additional TOR:-

1. Being an existing mine, yearwise details of production taken during last 10 years be submitted.

PP vide letter dated 20/06/2016 has requested that being this case is of marble which is less than 5.00 ha their case may be transferred to DEIAA, Katni.

The committee observed that PP vides their letter dated 20/06/2016 has requested for transfer of their case to DEIAA. Committee after deliberations recommends that the case may be transferred to DEIAA through SEIAA on the basis of grounds mentioned in the PPøs request letter by withdrawing the recommendations made in the 252<sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting dated 17/12/2015 as per new stipulations. File may be sent to SEIAA for necessary action.

# 26.<u>Case No. 1407/13 M/s K.C.Bagaria Sons, Prop. Sh. Madhusudan Bagaria, Station Road, P.O. & Distt. Katni (M.P.) PIN – 483501. Prior Environmental Clearance for approval of Amehta Limestone & Dolomite Mine (7.52 Ha.) production capacity-2,00,000 <u>MTPA(Expansion) at Khasra no.-183, 230/1, Vill.- Amehta, Th.- Vijayraghogarh, District-Katni, (M.P).</u></u>

This is case of for Amehta Limestone & Dolomite Mine. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is at Khasra no.-183, 230/1, Vill.- Amehta, Th.- Vijayraghogarh, District- Katni, (M .P) 7.52 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

The TOR was approved in the 130<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 07/05/2013 and the same was issued to the PP vide letter no. 544 dated 29/06/2013. The validity of TOR expired on 28/06/2015. SEIAA vide their letter no. 11767 dated 11/03/2016 has sent the file for extending the validity of TOR extent. After deliberations, the committee recommends the case for extension in TOR for one year with validity up to 28/06/16. PP vide letter dated 15/06/2016 has again requested for extension in validity of TOR for one more year as twice their public hearing has been cancelled referring letter dated 25/08/2015 wherein PP has requested for TOR validity extension for two years. PP further submitted that against their request of two year extension TOR validity was extended only for one year with validity up to 27/06/2017.

#### 27.<u>Case No.4401/15 M/s Sanik Foods Pvt.Ltd.</u>, Shri Litesh Jha, HIGGD-5, Dindayal Nagar, <u>Phase-1, Kanth Road, and Muradabad (U.P.)-487221.Prior Environment Clearance for</u> <u>approval of Sand Quarry in an area of 23.00 ha. (4,60,000 cum/year) at Khasra no.- 1229,</u> <u>Village-Bhumka, Tehsil-Majhouli, District-Sidhi (MP)</u>

### SECOND STATE EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE-2 (MINING) MINUTES OF THE $36^{\rm th}$ MEETING

This is case of Sand Quarry. The application was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The proposed site is at Khasra no.- 1229, Village-Bhumka, Tehsil-Majhouli, District-Sidhi (MP) 23.00 ha. The project requires prior EC before commencement of any activity at site.

PP has submitted a copy of approved Mining Plan, letter from Mining Officer certifying the leases within 1000 meters radius around the site and requisite information in the prescribed format duly verified by the Tehsildar and DFO. Concerned Mining Officer vides letter no.1296 dated: 31/10/15, has reported that there is no more mine operating or proposed within 1000 meters around the said mine.

SEIAA vides their letter no. 12560/SEIAA/16 dated 22/03/2016 have forwarded the replenishment plan of the above lease.

The case was presented by the PP and their consultant in the  $16^{\text{th}}$  SEAC meeting dated 03/04/2016 wherein it was observed that as per the certificate issued by the DFO, Sanjay Gandhi National park is at a distance of 6.5 kms (a Notified PA) Clearance from NBWL is therefore needed. PP has submitted the copy of online application made for wild life clearance with proposal no. FP/MP/MIN/327/2015 and date of submission is 12/11/2015. During presentation it was also observed as per the Google image based on the co-ordinates provided by the PP that the QL 1000 meters away from dam and some part of the lease is submerged in back water. Thus committee decided that in the sanctioned lease of 23.00 ha., safe zone of 300 meters from the dam side boundary should be left as no mining area. Other submissions made by PP were found satisfactory and acceptable and thus the committee decided to recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure  $\div B\alpha$ 

- Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 4,60,000 cum/year.
- District Authority should record the deposition of sand in the lease area at an interval of 100 meters annually in the last week of September and maintain the records in RL (Reduce Level) Measurement Book. Accordingly authority may allow lease holder to excavate the replenished quantity of sand in the subsequent year.
- Evacuation of sand should not be allowed through the roads passing through the villages.
- Heavy vehicles (Hywa) should not be allowed on Kachcha, narrow roads.
- If causeway (Rapta) is required to be constructed for mining. It should be removed completely before rainy season every year.

- The river bank from where access ramps are made should be restored and access should be closed every year before rainy season.
- No diversion of active channel should be allowed for mining.
- In the sanctioned OL area safe zone of 300 meters from the dam side boundary should be left as no mining area.
- The grant of Environmental Clearance should be subject necessary Wild Life Clearance from NBWL to be obtained by PP.

SEIAA vide letter no. 1620/SEIAA/16 dated 24/05/2016 sent the file back stating that "from the Google map it was observed that presently by construction of a dam, the sand available is seen as scanty. PP was called for clarification and with complete co-ordinates. It is seen that recently power plant has come up at the site. PP in his presentation copy has filed the Google image of 2001 when the power plant and the dam had not existed," and the same was placed before the committee.

Committee observed that at the time of consideration of the project in the 16<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting dated 03/04/2016; latest Google image available was of November, 2013 and the same was considered for evaluation of the project wherein dam existence was observed but since it was incomplete, back water was not observed in the QL area. However, now the recent available Google image is of 2015 which confirm that approx.80% of the QL area is submerged in water.

The case was discussed in the  $28^{\text{th}}$  SEAC meeting dated 02/06/2016 wherein it is recorded that the committee after deliberations decided that based on the recent available Google image of 2015, only 15% of the area is available for evacuation of sand, thus committee decided to reduce the volume of sand excavation to 92,000 cum/year and recommend the case for grant of prior EC subject to the following special conditions in addition to the standard conditions at annexure  $\div B\alpha$ 

- Production of Sand as per mine plan with quantity not exceeding 69,000 cum/year.
- District Authority should record the deposition of sand in the lease area at an interval of 100 meters annually in the last week of September and maintain the records in RL (Reduce Level) Measurement Book. Accordingly authority may allow lease holder to excavate the replenished quantity of sand in the subsequent year.
- Evacuation of sand should not be allowed through the roads passing through the villages.

- Heavy vehicles (Hywa) should not be allowed on Kachcha, narrow roads.
- If causeway (Rapta) is required to be constructed for mining. It should be removed completely before rainy season every year.
- The river bank from where access ramps are made should be restored and access should be closed every year before rainy season.
- No diversion of active channel should be allowed for mining.
- In the sanctioned OL area safe zone of 300 meters from the dam side boundary should be left as no mining area.
- The grant of Environmental Clearance should be subject necessary Wild Life Clearance from NBWL to be obtained by PP.

SEIAA vide letter no. 2302/SEIAA/16 dated 01/07/2016 sent back the file to SEAC referring the 28<sup>th</sup> SEAC II minutes dated 02/06/2016 that it appears there is ambiguity in the production capacity which was placed before the committee. Committee after perusal of the case file and deliberation decided that the recommended capacity was 69,000 cum/year which was 15% of the asked volume ie 4,60,000 cum/year.

(R. K. Sharma, Member)	(Mohd. Kasam Khan, Member )
( Dr.Mohd. Akram Khan, Member )	(Prashant Shrivastava, Member)
	(Dr.NavinChandra, Chairman)

Following standard conditions shall be applicable for the mining projects of minor mineral in addition to the specific conditions:

#### Annexure- 'A'

#### Standard conditions applicable to Stone/Murrum and Soil quarries:

- 1. The amount towards reclamation of the pit and land in MLA shall be carried out through the mining department. The appropriate amount as estimated for the activity by mining department has to be deposited with the Collector to take up the activity after the mine is exhausted.
- 2. PP shall be responsible for discrepancy (if any) in the submissions made by the PP to SEAC & SEIAA
- 3. Transportation of material shall be done in covered vehicles.
- 4. Necessary consents shall be obtained from MPPCB and the air/water pollution control measures have to be installed as per the recommendation of MPPCB.
- 5. Curtaining of site shall be done using appropriate media.
- 6. The proposed plantation should be carried out along with the mining @45 trees per hectare and PP would maintain the plants for five years including casualty replacement.
- 7. Transportation shall not be carried out through forest area.
- 8. Appropriate activities shall be taken up for social up-liftment of the area. Funds reserved towards the same shall be utilized through Gram Panchayat.
- 9. PP will take adequate precautions so as not to cause any damage to the flora and fauna during mining operations.
- 10. PP should maintain a log book wherein daily details of water sprinkling and vehicle movement are recorded.
- 11. PP should also maintain a log book containing annual details of tree plantation and causality replacement.
- 12. The validity of the EC shall be as per the provisions of EIA Notification subject to the following: Expansion or modernization in the project, entailing capacity addition with change in process and or technology and any change in product mix in proposed mining unit shall require a fresh Environment Clearance.
- 13. Mining should be doen as per the submiited land use plan submiited by PP.

#### Annexure- 'B'

#### Standard conditions applicable for the sand Mine Quarries\*

- 1. The amount towards reclamation of the land in MLA shall be carried out through the mining department; the appropriate amount as estimated for the activity by mining department has to be deposited with the Collector to take up the activity after the mine is exhausted.
- 2. PP shall be responsible for discrepancy (if any) in the submissions made by the PP to SEAC & SEIAA.
- 3. Plantation shall be carried out on the banks for stabilization of the banks.
- 4. The mining activity shall be done manually.
- 5. Heavy vehicles shall not be allowed on the banks for loading of sand.
- 6. The sand shall be transported by small trolleys up to the main transport vehicle.
- 7. Transport vehicles will be covered with taurpoline to minimize dust/sand particle emissions.
- 8. For carrying out mining in proximity to any bridge and/or embankment, appropriate safety zone on upstream as well as on downstream from the periphery of the mining site shall be ensured taking into account the structural parameters, location aspects, flow rate, etc., and no mining shall be carried out in the safety zone.
- 9. No Mining shall be carried out during Monsoon season.
- 10. The depth of mining shall be restricted to 3m or water level, whichever is less.
- 11. No in-stream mining shall be allowed.
- 12. The mining shall be carried out strictly as per the approved mining plan and ensure that the annual replenishment of sand in the mining lease area is sufficient to sustain the mining operations at levels prescribed in the mining plan.
- 13. Established water conveyance channels should not be relocated, straightened, or modified.
- 14. If the stream is dry, the excavation must not proceed beyond the lowest undisturbed elevation of the stream bottom, which is a function of local hydraulics, hydrology, and geomorphology.
- 15. After mining is complete, the edge of the pit should be graded to a 2.5:1 slope in the direction of the flow.
- 16. PP shall take Socio-economic activities in the region through the -Gram Panchayatø
- 17. EC will be valid for mine lease period subject to a ceiling of 5 years.
- 18. Mining should be doen as per the submitted land use plan submitted by PP.