48th MEETING 22<sup>nd</sup> February, 2010

### 1.0 Opening Remarks of the Chairman

The Chairman welcomed all the members of the Committee (Annexure-1) and thereafter agenda items were taken up for deliberations. The list of participants present during meeting is annexed as Annexure-2.

#### 2.0 Consideration of the Projects

Following issues were discussed and decided:-

- A. 10 proposals (for TOR / EIA deliberations) were invited. Out of these proposals only 05 proposals were presented before the committee.
- B. It is decided to hold the 49<sup>th</sup> meeting on 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2010.
- C. In view of Office Memorandum dated 13/01/10 issued by MoEF in context to the Comprehensive Environmental Pollution Index (CEPI) and in accordance to the MoEF circular dated 25/08/09, the committee has decided to visit the proposed sites of M/s Usma technology, Pithampur (Case No.493/2009) and M/s Pluton Cement, Pithampur (Case No. 505/2010), Bharat Petroleum Co LPG Filling Plant Pithampur (Case No. 500/2010) and M/s Gopal Enterprises Village- Bicholi Mardana, Indore (Case No. 106/2008) along with an Officer from State Pollution Control Board (Regional Officer, Dhar), as these projects are proposed in Pithampur Industrial Area which is listed as critically polluted industrial cluster / area (with CEPI score 60-70) in the said memorandum. Following members of the committee shall visit the site: Shri V.Subramanian, Shri K.K. Goswami & Shri S.R. Shukla. The visit is scheduled for 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> March 2010.
- D. Case no. 494/2009 M/s Chirayu Hospital & Medical College Bhaiskhedi Indore Road Bhopal (M.P) was discussed in the meeting and it was decided by the committee to visit the site on 23<sup>rd</sup> February.
- E. It was decided by the Committee that the proponents who do not appear before the committee to respond the queries and to explain the features of their projects shall be called again on receiving their willingness / continuation of their interest

(S. C. Jain)	(V. Subramanian)	(K.K. Goswami)
Chairman SEAC	Member SEAC	Member SEAC
(A.P. Shrivastava)	(S.R. Shukla)	(R.K. Jain)
<b>Invitee Member</b>	<b>Member SEAC</b>	Secretary SEAC



48th MEETING 22<sup>nd</sup> February, 2010

in their project, such cases will be included in subsequent meeting only if time permits.

#### **Deliberations:**

#### **1. 299/2009**

M/s Murlidhar minerals, Malegaon, Sauser, Chhindwara (M.P) <u>EIA presentation</u> Malegaon Dolomite mine 10.00 Ha, 20000 TPA at village- Malegaon Teh- Sausar, Distt-Chhindwara (M.P)

Neither the PP nor his representative was present to help in understanding the documents etc. nor did to explain discrepancy / gap if find any during the deliberation. However PP has intimated about his non-availability in the meeting. Hence committee decided to call the PP in next meeting.

#### **2.** 212/2008

Sh. Pushpendra Singh, Hazari, Hazari ward, P.O. - Hatta, District- Damoh (M.P.) EIA Presentation - Lime stone mine of Sh. Pushpendra singh hazari, PO-Hatta, District-Damoh Mining Lease Area 5.66 Ha. Prod. Cap. 12,000 T/A

This being a mining project with lease area between 50 ha to 5 ha is listed at S.N. 1(a) of schedule under 'B' Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and is to be appraised by SEAC. TOR was issued vide letter dated 11/02/09. The applicant presented the salient features of the project EIA / EMP and the public hearing issues were dealt in detail. It was reported that the mine is existing but not operating. Method of mining is Open cast and manual. It was reported that no blasting shall be carried out as per the approved mining plan dated 07/12/2005. The nearest habitat is village Panji about 500 mts. from the

(S. C. Jain)	(V. Subramanian)	(K.K. Goswami)
Chairman SEAC	Member SEAC	Member SEAC
(A.P. Shrivastava)	(S.R. Shukla)	(R.K. Jain)
<b>Invitee Member</b>	<b>Member SEAC</b>	Secretary SEAC



48th MEETING 22<sup>nd</sup> February, 2010

project boundary. River Sonar is reported to be at about 750 mts from the lease boundary. Forest land is about 15 Km from the lease area. The mining shall not intersect the GW table. During meeting PP has submitted NOC from 'Gram Sabha' and NOC from 'Van Parikshetra Adhikari' Hatta Distt. Damoh, Copy of mining lease and mining plan. Plan for plantation in 33% of project area (1.66 Ha) within lease period has been submitted.

After deliberation, committee has sought following information and details from the PP:

- Latest NOC from Forest Deptt issued by the Competent Authority has to be submitted as per the following directions:
  - a) It should be submitted either in original or as an attested copy.
  - b) It should clearly state the mining lease area stating name of village, Tehsil, Distt. Khasra no. and Khasra sub divisions etc.
  - c) It should clearly state the distance of mining lease area from the nearest forest boundary.
  - d) It should also indicate the distance of mining lease area from the protected areas under Wildlife Protection Act, 1972.
- ➤ Location of OB along with the preventive measures to avoid any contamination into the River Sonar to be submitted.
- ➤ Written commitment for not conducting blasting operation so as to fulfill the public hearing issue has to be submitted.
- Maximum use of Solar energy for utilities has to be explored and implemented.

#### 3. 495/2010

M/s Saicharan Properties Ltd. 101, Kalptaru Synergy Opp. Grand Hyatt, Santacruz (E), Mumbai- 400 055 - Commercial Building of Plot no. 27, at Yash Niwas Road, Indore (M.P) Construction Project

(S. C. Jain)	(V. Subramanian)	(K.K. Goswami)
Chairman SEAC	<b>Member SEAC</b>	<b>Member SEAC</b>
(A.P. Shrivastava)	(S.R. Shukla)	(R.K. Jain)
<b>Invitee Member</b>	<b>Member SEAC</b>	Secretary SEAC



48th MEETING 22<sup>nd</sup> February, 2010

Building and Construction projects with area  $\geq$ 20000 sq.mtrs and <1,50,000 sq.mtrs. of built-up area are covered under the Schedule of EIA Notification 2006 in category B,8(a). Therefore are required to be appraised by the SEAC.

This is a Commercial building Project with construction of High-rise buildings (up to 39) meters), proposed within the Indore Municipal Corporation area at Plot no. 27 Yashwant Niwas Road Indore. PP has purchased the old closed textile mill for the purpose. The proposed site is adjacent to the Engineering College. During presentation it was reported by the PP that total plot area is 12626sqm. and built-up area is 46119.32sqm. The project consists of High-rise buildings with maximum height of 39 mts. Total water requirement is 309 m3/day which includes fresh-water 182 m3 / day and recycled-water 127 m3/day. Total waste-water generation is 134 m<sup>3</sup>/day. STP with capacity to treat 150 KLD of waste-water is proposed. Total power requirement shall be 7000 KW. 03 DG sets of capacity 1000 KVA will be provided for power back-up. Total cost of project is 210 Crore. Total municipal solid waste is reported to be 1411 Kg/day. MSW is proposed to be managed by authorized agency. Total green area has been reported to be about 20% of the total plot area. Papers submitted by the PP - copies of Ownership documents, TNCP approved development plan and building permission from Municipal Corporation Indore. In view the Office Memorandum of MoEf dated 13/01/10, committee decided to hold the case till August 2010. Meanwhile, the PP may complete the documentation incorporating the listed queries/documents:

- Revised layout plan showing plantation in 33% of total plot area within 5 years to be submitted.
- Commitment for use of fly-ash bricks, waste material in road construction, follow the ECBC norms, provision of first-aid etc. at site.
- Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) is reported to be managed by the authorized

(S. C. Jain)	(V. Subramanian)	(K.K. Goswami)
Chairman SEAC	Member SEAC	Member SEAC
(A.P. Shrivastava)	(S.R. Shukla)	(R.K. Jain)
<b>Invitee Member</b>	<b>Member SEAC</b>	Secretary SEAC



48th MEETING 22<sup>nd</sup> February, 2010

agencies; appropriate agreement with such agency has to be furnished.

- Proposed site is reported to fall under Class –III Seismic Zone, accordingly the details of the preventive measures has to be submitted.
- Source of water for construction and operational phases along with the requisite permission / commitment from the concerned authorities.
- Chemical analyses report may be re-checked.
- Ambient noise level has to be re-examined.

This project has been proposed within municipal limits of Indore, which has CEPI index of more than 70, thus the case was deferred till further directions from MoEF on Office Memorandum dated 13/01/10.

# **4. 93/2008** Smt. Kunwar Rani Ayodhya Singh, 6, Usha Colony, Lashkar Gwalior(M.P.) <u>EIA Presentation</u>

Smt. Kunwar Rani Ayodhya Singh Iron Ore Mine Khasra No. 1262 Village Panihar Tehsil: Gird Distt. Gwalior Iron ore Mining Area- 20.235 Ha Production Capacity: 20.000 MT/Y

Neither the PP nor his representative was present to help in understanding the documents etc. nor did to explain discrepancy / gap if find any during the deliberation. However PP has submitted a request to postpone the hearing for next meeting on medical ground. Hence committee decided to call the PP in next meeting.

#### **5.** 479/2009

Shri Hari Prakash Shivhare, Vasant Vihar, D-46, Kabir Niwas, Distt.-Gwalior (M.P.)
Pathar Muram mine 20 hec. At Village-Rajiyar, Teh.-Dabra, Distt.-Gwalior (M.P.)

<u>For</u>

<u>ToR</u>

Neither the PP nor his representative was present to help in understanding the

EAC Member SEAC
kla) (R.K. Jain)
EAC Secretary SEAC
ļ



48th MEETING 22<sup>nd</sup> February, 2010

documents etc. nor did to explain discrepancy / gap if find any during the deliberation. Hence committee decided to call the PP in accordance to the decision taken in 2 (E) of the Minutes.

#### 6. 483/2009

Shri Bijay Kumar Sharma, Director M/s Awin Trading (P) Ltd., 241/1, Saket Nagar, Baldev Bag, Distt.- Jabalpur (M.P) 482002 Padwar Bauxite, Laterite and Fireclay Mines, 30.88 Ha, 4000 T/Y, Village- Padwar Tehsil – Bahoriband Distt. Katni (M.P) For TOR

Neither the PP nor his representative was present to help in understanding the documents etc. nor did to explain discrepancy / gap if find any during the deliberation. Hence committee decided to call the PP in accordance to the decision taken in 2 (E) of the Minutes.

#### 7. 487/2009

**Sh. Anil Kumar Dubey P.O.-Dursada, Distt.- Datia (M.P)** Dursada Quartz & Feldspar (Stone) at village Dursada, Distt. – Datia, Area- 7.624 Ha Prod. Capacity- . For TOR

Neither the PP nor his representative was present to help in understanding the documents etc. nor did to explain discrepancy / gap if find any during the deliberation. Hence committee decided to call the PP in accordance to the decision taken in 2 (E) of the Minutes.

#### 8. 156/2008

**Smt.** Leela Bhalawi, village-jagantola, PO- Ukwa, Tehsil-baihar, Dist-Balaghat, M.P. - Manganese Mine, village-jagantola, Tehsil-baihar, dist-balaghat, M.P. Mining lease area 5.492 Ha. prod. 10,000 TPA <u>Query Presentation</u>

This being a mining project with lease area between 50 ha to 5 ha is listed at S.N. 1(a) of

(S. C. Jain)	(V. Subramanian)	(K.K. Goswami)
Chairman SEAC	Member SEAC	<b>Member SEAC</b>
(A.P. Shrivastava)	(S.R. Shukla)	(R.K. Jain)
<b>Invitee Member</b>	<b>Member SEAC</b>	Secretary SEAC



48th MEETING 22<sup>nd</sup> February, 2010

schedule under 'B' Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and is to be appraised by SEAC. TOR was issued vide letter dated 02/09/08. The applicant presented the salient features of the project EIA / EMP and the public hearing issues were dealt in detail. It was reported that the mine is existing but not operating. Method of mining is Open cast and semi-mechanized. It was reported that blasting shall be carried out as per the approved mining plan dated 25/07/2006. The nearest habitat is village Jagantola about 2Km from the project boundary. The mining shall not intersect the GW table. During meeting PP has submitted copy of approved mining plan.

After deliberation, committee has sought following information and details from the PP:

- > NOC from 'Gram Sabha'.
- Latest NOC from Forest Deptt issued by the Competent Authority has to be submitted as per the following directions:
  - a) It should be submitted either in original or as an attested copy.
  - b) It should clearly state the mining lease area stating name of village, Tehsil, Distt. Khasra no. and Khasra sub divisions etc.
  - c) It should clearly state the distance of mining lease area from the nearest forest boundary.
  - d) It should also indicate the distance of mining lease area from the protected areas under Wildlife Protection Act, 1972.
- ➤ Use of Solar energy for utilities has to be explored and implemented.
- > Commitment for putting hand-pump to fulfill the drinking water requirement of the villagers in accordance to the public hearing issue.
- > Plan for plantation in 33% of project area within lease period has to be submitted.
- Leachate and soil analyses from approved lab.

(S. C. Jain)	(V. Subramanian)	(K.K. Goswami)
Chairman SEAC	Member SEAC	Member SEAC
(A.P. Shrivastava)	(S.R. Shukla)	(R.K. Jain)
<b>Invitee Member</b>	<b>Member SEAC</b>	Secretary SEAC



48th MEETING 22<sup>nd</sup> February, 2010

#### 9. 63/2008

Ram Prakash Kataria, Khaiber Line, Madhav Nagar, Katni (M.P.) - Fire Clay and Laterite Mine, Village Imaliya, Tahsil Murwara, District Katni (M.P.) Khasra No. 749Mining Lease Area 24.0 Ha. Prod Capa- 15000 MTA Query Presentation.

This being a mining project with lease area between 50 ha to 5 ha is listed at S.N. 1(a) of schedule under 'B' Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and is to be appraised by SEAC.

TOR was issued vide letter dated 02/09/08. The applicant presented the salient features of the project EIA / EMP and the public hearing issues were dealt in detail. It was reported that the mine is existing but not operating. Method of mining is Open cast and manual. A copy of approved Mining Plan dated 31/10/2007 has been submitted. The nearest habitat is village Imalia about 400 mts. from the project boundary. The mining shall not intersect the GW table.

After deliberation, committee has sought following information and details from the PP:

- NOC from 'Gram Sabha'.
- Latest NOC from Forest Deptt issued by the Competent Authority has to be submitted as per the following directions:
  - a) It should be submitted either in original or as an attested copy.
  - b) It should clearly state the mining lease area stating name of village, Tehsil, Distt. Khasra no. and Khasra sub divisions etc.
  - c) It should clearly state the distance of mining lease area from the nearest forest boundary.
  - d) It should also indicate the distance of mining lease area from the protected areas under Wildlife Protection Act, 1972.
- Use of Solar energy for utilities has to be explored and implemented.

(S. C. Jain)	(V. Subramanian)	(K.K. Goswami)
Chairman SEAC	Member SEAC	Member SEAC
(A.P. Shrivastava)	(S.R. Shukla)	(R.K. Jain)
<b>Invitee Member</b>	<b>Member SEAC</b>	Secretary SEAC



48th MEETING 22<sup>nd</sup> February, 2010

- > Disclosure of the Consultant.
- ➤ Declaration from the Proponent and consultation regarding use of authentic data in the EIA/EMP.

### 10. 264/2009

#### Shri Arvind Pratap Singh, Behind Hanuman Toria Chhatarpur (M.P)

Pyrophyllite & Diaspore Mine at Village- Nandgaikala, Tehsil- Chhatarpur Distt.- Chhatarpur (M.P) Area- 6.602 Ha, Cap- 10,000 MT/Year. **Query Presentation** 

This being a mining project with lease area between 50 ha to 5 ha is listed at S.N. 1(a) of schedule under 'B' Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and is to be appraised by SEAC.

TOR was issued vide letter dated 09/04/2009.

The case was appraised in 39th meeting of SEAC held on 24<sup>th</sup> Oct, 2009 and further in 44<sup>th</sup> meeting on 23rd December, 2009, during the appraisal of above case it was noted that a large number of persons participated in public hearing of this case held on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2009 at Govt. Primary School, Nandgaikala, were not in favour of the project for the following reasons:

- (i) That the grazing area for the cattle of nearby villages namely Nandgaikala and Laxmanpura will be lost. Presently the proposed hill for mining is being used by the cattle for grazing.
- (ii) That the people of nearby villages will loose while earth (soil) presently being used by them for white washing in their houses.
- (iii) That blasting proposed for mining may harm the cattle children and the houses nearby.
- (iv) They wanted a passage through the hill.

The sub committee visited the site on 12/01/10 and interacted with local people of villages and Surpanch. The outcome of the observations and discussion are summarized below:

(S. C. Jain)	(V. Subramanian)	(K.K. Goswami)
Chairman SEAC	<b>Member SEAC</b>	<b>Member SEAC</b>
(A.P. Shrivastava)	(S.R. Shukla)	(R.K. Jain)
<b>Invitee Member</b>	<b>Member SEAC</b>	Secretary SEAC



48th MEETING 22<sup>nd</sup> February, 2010

- (a) During the inspection of the said hill & surrounding areas it is found that no cattle was grazing on the hill. However, dry cow dumg seen at a few places indicated that the cattles may stay on the hill sometimes but it did not appear a regular feature. The issue raised at public hearing could be confirmed on site and therefore was not valid, Provided, it is reported that specific grazing land has been provided by Panchayat & the breakup of land use specified by the Panchayat will be obtained by PP & will be submitted to SEAC in support.
- (b) No evacuation of white earth was found on the hill as claimed during Public Hearing for white washing of their houses. The local soil used for white washing is available in the area & is being used by the local people for their houses but it was not available either on the hill or in foot-hill area. The proposed mining activities will not affect the availability of soil to local people for their houses.
- (c) No children were found playing on the hill and their appeared no possibility that children may assemble for playing on the hill as there is no plain land on the hill. As already stated no cattle are seen grazing on the hill, there will be no harm to them due to proposed blasting. The village is located much beyond the safe distance from the damage / harm due to blasting. However a settlement has come up at the foothill.
- (d) The hill has two peaks. The settlement separated by a road from foothill is next to the other higher peak which may work as buffer, to the people/settlement. PP has assured that he will not mine, this hill peak protecting the settlement. The hill situated on the north side and serving as buffer and protect the settlement from the adverse effect of proposed running activities, will be left as such. No mining will be done in this part of hill a written commitment to this aspect may be taken from the project proponent before recommending the case for clearance.
- (e) In Public Hearing people demanded a passage through the hill which appears not appropriate as there already exists a motorable road at the foothill which is in use.

In view of the above observations and discussions, the sub-committee recommended that the case may be appraised. The issues raised during public hearing may be ignored and the case may be considered.

Based on the recommendation of the sub-committee the case was appraised in this

(S. C. Jain)	(V. Subramanian)	(K.K. Goswami)
Chairman SEAC	Member SEAC	Member SEAC
(A.P. Shrivastava)	(S.R. Shukla)	(R.K. Jain)
<b>Invitee Member</b>	<b>Member SEAC</b>	Secretary SEAC



48th MEETING
22<sup>nd</sup> February,
2010

meeting. The applicant presented the salient features of the project EIA / EMP and the public hearing issues were dealt in detail. It was reported that the mine is existing but not operating. Method of mining is Open cast and manual. The nearest habitat is village Nandgaikala about 800 mts from the project boundary however some huts of the extended village are touching the eastern boundary of the site. The mining shall not intersect the GW table. During meeting PP has submitted NOC from 'Gram Sabha' and NOC from forest deptt, Copy of mining lease and mining plan. Water requirement is 6.5 KL /day. PP has committed that no mining shall be carried out eastern hillock in view of the proximity to the habitat and agriculture land over there. PP has also given commitment to provide funds to Panchayat Nandgaikala for strengthening of road and maintenance of the School building. PP has submitted NOC from 'Gram Sabha'.

After deliberation, committee has sought following information and details from the PP:

- Latest NOC from Forest Deptt issued by the Competent Authority has to be submitted as per the following directions:
  - a) It should be submitted either in original or as an attested copy.
  - b) It should clearly state the mining lease area stating name of village, Tehsil, Distt. Khasra no. and Khasra sub divisions etc.
  - c) It should clearly state the distance of mining lease area from the nearest forest boundary.
  - d) It should also indicate the distance of mining lease area from the protected areas under Wildlife Protection Act, 1972.
- ➤ Use of Solar energy for utilities has to be explored and implemented.
- ➤ Plantation scheme with a map showing plantation in 33% of the total lease area.
- Analyses report from approved lab to be submitted.

Meeting ended with thanks to the chair.

(S. C. Jain)	(V. Subramanian)	(K.K. Goswami)
Chairman SEAC	Member SEAC	Member SEAC
(A D Shuiyastaya)	(S.D. Shulda)	(D. V. Join)
(A.P. Shrivastava)	(S.R. Shukla)	(R.K. Jain)
Invitee Member	Member SEAC	Secretary SEAC

