### 1.0 Opening Remarks of the Chairman

The Chairman welcomed all the members of the Committee (Annexure-1) and thereafter agenda item taken up for deliberations. The list of participants present during meeting is annexed as Annexure-2.

### 2.0 Consideration of the Projects

Following issues were discussed and decided:-

- A. 16 proposals were invited for TOR / EIA / Query reply deliberations. Out of these proposals only 11 proposals were presented before the committee.
- B. Next meetings were decided for 25<sup>th</sup> and if required for 26<sup>th</sup> March 2009.

### 3.0 Deliberations:

### 1. 234/2008

Shri Jyoti Minerals Industries kamal Kumar Bagodia Station Road Katni (M.P)

Project: Limestone, Dolomite stone, laterite mine 6.00 Ha at village Ritual Tehsil - Bahoriband, Katni

The proposed case was submitted for approval / issue of TOR, but the proponent did not appear for the submission hence the committee decided to give a <u>last chance</u> to the applicant and call the applicant in next meeting.

### 2. 246/2008

Shri Ajesh Kumar Jain R/o Village Darshini Tehsil- Sihora Distt.- Jabalpur (M.P)

Project: Darshini Laterite, Ochre and clay mine, Village - Darshini, Tesil- Sihore Distt.-Jabalpur (M.P)

The proposed case was submitted for approval / issue of TOR, but the proponent did not appear for the submission hence the committee decided to give a <u>last chance</u> to the *applicant* and call the applicant in next meeting.

(Suresh Chandra Jain) (K.K. Goswami)
Chairman SEAC Member SEAC

(Dr. A.K. Goyal) Invitee Expert



### 3. 208/2008

Sh. Ramzan bhai, S/o Sh. Mohammad Ismil, barhi Raad, near Khirahni Fatak, Katni, Dist. katni

Project: Fire clay, Laterite, red ochre and red Oxide mine of Ramzan bhai. Village-Suddi, RIC Badwara, the. Badwara, Dist-Katni. Mining Lease Area 6.00 Ha. Prod. Cap. 4000 MT/month.

The proposed case was submitted for approval / issue of TOR, but the proponent did not appear for the submission hence the committee decided to give a <u>last chance</u> to the *applicant* and call the applicant in next meeting.

### 4. 215/2008

M/s Guru Kripa Stone cutting mines & mineral industries In front of Shabd Pratap Ashsram Rampuri Colony Behind Rajasthan Emporium

Project: Quarry lease deed 7.967 hect. at village Badagaon Gwalior (M.P).

The proposed case was submitted for approval / issue of TOR, but the proponent did not appear for the submission hence the committee decided to give a <u>last chance</u> to the *applicant* and call the applicant in next meeting.

### 5. 258/2009

M/s Vinod Traders R.L. House Behind New Bus Stand Industrial Area Dhamtari (C.G)

Project: Manganese Ore Mines, Pauwia 9.105 Ha at Village - Punia, Tehasil - Katangi, Balaghat (M.P.)

The proposed case was submitted for approval / issue of TOR, but the proponent did not appear for the submission hence the committee decided to call the applicant in next meeting.

### 6. 259/2009

Shri M. Subramanyan, Director Seshasaila Power & Engineering (P) Ltd. K-21, Saikripa Green Land Vishal Nagar Near Telibandha Junction Raipur (C.G)

Project: 10 MW Biomass based power plant at village Bakaner, tehsil- Manawer Distt.

Dhar (M.P)

The proposed case was submitted for approval / issue of TOR. It was informed by the proponent that still land has not been procured for the proposed unit. Committee directed

(Suresh Chandra Jain) (K.K. Goswami)
Chairman SEAC Member SEAC

(Dr. A.K. Goyal) Invitee Expert



the applicant to submit the land procurement papers only than the case may be considered for issue of TOR

### 7. 260/2009

Shri M. Subramanyan, Director Seshasaila Power & Engineering (P) Ltd. K-21, Saikripa Green Land Vishal Nagar Near Telibandha Junction Raipur (C.G)

Project: 10 MW Biomass based power plant at village Garoth, tehsil- Garoth Distt.

Mandsaur (M.P)

The proposed case was submitted for approval / issue of TOR. It was informed by the proponent that still land has not been procured for the proposed unit. Committee directed the applicant to submit the land procurement papers only than the case may be considered for issue of TOR.

### 8. 261/2009

Mrs Rakhi Mishra Iswam Sahakari Shakker evam Krishi Maryadit , Khandwa (M.P)

Project: 10 MW Biomass based power plant at village Vishrampur, tehsil- Khandwa, Distt. Khandwa (M.P)

The renewable energy projects < 50 MW or > 5 MW (Pet coke, diesel and all other fuels) are listed at S.N. 1(d) of schedule under 'B' Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and are to be appraised by SEAC.

It is a bio-mass based power plant with 10 MW capacity. Applicant, aided by the consultant gave a presentation on the salient features of the project and the draft Terms of Reference for undertaking detailed EIA study.

After detailed deliberations, the SEAC has recommended the inclusion of following TORS in the preparation of EIA/EMP:-

- *Documentation:* Land ownership papers, Land diversion papers and papers pertaining to registration of Society are to be submitted with the EIA.
- Raw material: Source & availability (quantification of demand vis-à-vis collection) of bio-mass with supportive documentation (lease, agreements etc.) to be produced. In case separate land is hired or acquired for bio-mass cultivation documents pertaining to the same have to be produced. Quality of coal has to be certified by the supplier.
- Water: Sources of water to be incorporated with details of ground water potential, quality and use in the region duly certified from State / Central Ground Water

(Suresh Chandra Jain) (K.K. Goswami)
Chairman SEAC Member SEAC

(Dr. A.K. Goyal) Invitee Expert



Board. Approval and commitments from the concerned departments have to be furnished with EIA. Evaluation of ground-water withdrawal vis-à-vis recharge to be provided along with a concrete plan of GW-recharging.

- Social Corporate Responsibility: Details of welfare activities planned for the workers to be provided.
- Plantation in at least 40% of the total area has to ensured, accordingly plan has to be submitted with EIA.
- *Map Depictions:* Coloured maps depicting land-use of the region showing sensitive / fragile features and detailed lay-out of the site clearly showing greenbelt (existing & planned)
- Environmental Quality Monitoring: Air /water /soil and other environmental parameters have to be monitored and presented in the EIA with appropriate modeling and interpretations.
- Statistics of carbon-credits as claimed by the industry under CDM have to be provided.
- Interpreted Satellite Imagery of the location should be submitted.
- Location is also to be shown in Tehsil map procured from revenue department.

### 9. Case No. 238/2008

#### **Query reply presentation**

Shri P.S. Marwah Birla Corporation Ltd. Cement Division P.O. Birla Vikas, Satna (M.P)

Project: Coal washery Plant of Capacity 0.96 MTPA Raghuraj Nagar Satna

EIA / EMP studies being carried out on the TOR issued by MoEF vide letter J-11015/2008-IA.11 (M) dated 18<sup>th</sup> March 2008 were presented before the SEAC in its 17<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 10/12/2008, whereby the applicant was asked to submit information with supporting documents on following issues:

- 1. Capacity of the reservoir from which water is being used.
- 2. Monitoring of carbon-monoxide.
- 3. Units used to report the water quality to be verified and reexamined.
- 4. Leachate study of the nearby soil has to be carried out and reported.
- 5. Total plantation has to be enhanced possibly up to 40% in view of the proximity to settlement.
- 6. Details of the proposed treatment plant for treatment of mine sump water.

(Suresh Chandra Jain) (K.K. Goswami)
Chairman SEAC Member SEAC

(Dr. A.K. Goyal) Invitee Expert



- 7. Water balance diagram should be provided clearly indicating usage of fresh water and recycled water in the process to prove the "zero effluent discharge".
- 8. Verification of the distances of Naro Protected forest and Jamori Reserve forest from the proposed project site.
- 9. Details of the Environment Management Cell.
- 10. Scheduled for environmental monitoring program.
- 11. Year wise plantation scheduled.
- 12. Budgeted with break-up for Environment Protection Measures.

Reply to the above issues with supporting documents was submitted by the proponent and the same was presented before the committee. Based on the information submitted by the Project Proponent the case is recommended for grant of environmental clearance.

### 10. Case No. 217/2008

### **Query reply presentation**

### H K Kalchuri Education Trust 31, Shamla Hills Bhopal (M.P)

Project: Hospital Complex with teaching hospital, medical college dental college & nursing college and allied services at Banjara Block Fandakala Kolar road, Bhopal (Area 10.61 hect)

The Building and construction projects are listed at S.N. 8(a) of schedule under 'B' Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and are to be appraised by SEAC.

The project proponent submitted prescribed Form -1, Form 1A and conceptual plan. It's a proposed project Hospital Complex with teaching hospital, medical college dental college & nursing college and allied services at Banjara Block Fandakala, Kolar road, Bhopal (Area 10.61 hect.).

A presentation was made on the salient features of the project before the SEAC in its 15<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 14/11/08. Whereby SEAC asked the proponent to submit various information with supportive data such as land ownership documents, land-use plan, water source for construction & post construction, permission from CGWB for abstraction of water, management of hazardous wastes, management of bio-medical wastes have to be evaluated and reported and building permission from the concerned authority etc. The proponent failed to furnish the reply and the supportive documents for some of the above queries hence committee decided to detain the case till complete submission of the requisite documents.

(Suresh Chandra Jain) (K.K. Goswami)
Chairman SEAC Member SEAC

(Dr. A.K. Goyal) Invitee Expert



### 11.Case No. 06/2008

### Query reply presentation

M/s Agrawal Mineral Process, Nagpur Road, Chhindwara, PIN 480 001

Project: M/s. Agarwal Minerals & Process, Gwari-Wadhona Manganese Ore mine, Vill. Gwari-Wadhona, Tehsil Sausar, Dist. Chhindwara

TOR was issued to the proponent by MPSEAC vide letter no. 99 dated 04/06/08 in the first SEAC meeting held on 9/04/2008. EIA and public hearing issues were presented in detail before the SEAC in its 17<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 10/12/08. Whereby the proponent was asked to submit reply with supportive documents on various issues such as, Hydrology studies with respect to the River Kanhan, leachate study, soil analyses with respect to manganese and SAR, questionnaire, NOC from the concerned forest official and plantation scheme.

The proponent submitted above information with supportive documents and the same was presented before the committee. During presentation the project proponent was asked to submit a written commitment for execution of plantation scheme as suggested, the same was submitted by the proponent after the presentation. <u>Based on the submission of proponent the Committee has recommended the case for grant of EC.</u>

### 12. Case No. 08/2008

### Query reply presentation

Shri Prashant Gupta M/s. Shakanbhari Mines & Minerals, "Rajnigandha" Main Road, Kamptee – 441 002, Dist. Nagpur (MH)

Project: M/s. Shakanbhari Mines & Minerals, Gowari, Wadona, Manga. ore Mine, Vill. Gowani Wadona, Tehsil Sausar, Dist. Chhindwara (6.607 Ha)

TOR was issued to the proponent by MPSEAC vide letter no. 115 dated 04/06/08 in the first SEAC meeting held on 9/04/2008. EIA and public hearing issues were presented in detail before the SEAC in its 17<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 10/12/08. Whereby the proponent was asked to submit reply with supportive documents on various issues such as, Hydrology studies with respect to the River Kanhan, leachate study, soil analyses with respect to manganese and SAR, questionnaire, NOC from the concerned forest official and plantation scheme.

The proponent submitted above information with supportive documents and the same was presented before the committee. During presentation the project proponent was asked to submit a written commitment for execution of plantation scheme as suggested, the same was submitted by the proponent after the presentation. <u>Based on the submission of proponent the Committee has recommended the case for grant of EC.</u>

(Suresh Chandra Jain) Chairman SEAC (K.K. Goswami) Member SEAC

(Dr. A.K. Goyal) Invitee Expert



### 13. Case No. 37/2008

#### **EIA presentation fro EC**

Shri Gajraj Singh S/o Shri Pratap Singh Baghel Gandhigram, Tehsil Sihora Distt. Jabalpur (M.P)

Project: Mining of Laterite, iron ore, Manganese ore & Yellow Ochre area: 5.8 Ha, at Village Gandhigram, Tehsil: Sihora Distt. Jabalpur (M.P) production will be - 100000 MT/Year

The case was appraised for approval / issue of TOR by the SEAC in its 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting dated 14/11/08 whereby TOR was issued to the project proponent.

The EIA was submitted by the project proponent and presented before the SEAC. Committee also took the note of the issue raised in the public hearing and the EMP submitted by the project proponent. The major issues raised were regarding employment opportunity of the *local people, air pollution due to mining activity, health & safety, blasting impacts and social welfare*. The PP submitted before the committee that the issue in the public hearing will be addressed as agreed. Based on the clarification and information furnished by the PP, the committee recommended the case for grant of Environmental Clearance with condition that —

- ⇒ First-aid medicines and suitable transport (to carry the sick labors / employees to hospital from the site under emergencies) shall be provided and maintained at site.
- ⇒ Plantation area shall be raised to 2 Ha.

### 14. Case No. 86/2008

#### **EIA presentation for EC**

M/s Nector Mining Co. 188, Rizwanbagh, Lalghati Bhopal (M.P)

Project: Nector Mining Co. Mining of laterite Village: Kurro - Mansakra Khsra No. 522/1, 10 Tehsil: Sehora Distt. Jabalpur (M.P.).

The case was appraised for approval / issue of TOR by the SEAC in its 5<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 30/06/08 whereby TOR was issued to the project proponent.

The EIA was submitted by the project proponent and presented before the SEAC. Committee also took the note of the issue raised in the public hearing and the EMP submitted by the project proponent. The major issues raised were regarding employment opportunity of the *local people*, *air pollution due to mining activity*, *health* & *safety*,

(Suresh Chandra Jain) (K.K. Goswami)
Chairman SEAC Member SEAC

(Dr. A.K. Goyal) Invitee Expert



blasting impacts and social welfare. The PP submitted before the committee that the issue in the public hearing will be addressed as agreed. Based on the clarification and information furnished by the PP, the committee recommended the case for grant of Environmental Clearance with condition that –

⇒ Plantation shall be carried out in the buffer zone available around the core mining zone as the core zone is a hillock.

### 15. 168/2008

(For EC)

M/s AGS Realtors (Indore Pvt. Ltd. Block - C Sector -43 Sushant lok, Phase -1 Gurgaon, Haryana

Project: "Thesoule" - Commercial complex with multiplex and Hotel at Khasra No. 68,69/1/1, 69/2, 70, 94, 95 & 96/1 at village - Lasudia Parmar Tehsil - Sanwar, Distt. - Indore, Total plot area: 70,310 Sqm. Proposed Built-up area 3,19,265 Sq

The Building and construction projects are listed at S.N. 8(a) of schedule under 'B' Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and are to be appraised by SEAC.

The project proponent submitted prescribed Form -1, Form 1A and conceptual plan. It's a proposed project for construction of *Commercial complex with multiplex and Hotel at village - Lasudia Parmar Tehsil - Sanwar, Distt. - Indore, with total plot area: 70,310 Sqm and built-up area of 3,19,265 Sqm.* Applicant, aided by the consultant gave a presentation on the salient features of the project and the EIA study.

After detailed deliberations, the SEAC has recommended for submission of following information / supportive data in the EMP:-

**Water:** Water is proposed to be used for construction as well as operational phase from Bore wells (03 No.) at site-

- ⇒ Break-up for construction & operational phase to be provided.
- ⇒ Permission from the Central Ground water Authority to be furnished.
- ⇒ If municipal supply is proposed a letter of this intent from Indore Municipal Corporation to be furnished.
- ⇒ Ground water status with respect to availability, potential, table has to be provided, with hydrogeology of the area.

(Suresh Chandra Jain) Chairman SEAC (K.K. Goswami) Member SEAC

(Dr. A.K. Goyal) Invitee Expert



- ⇒ Details of rain water harvesting with calculations quantifying the annual recharge and evaluation of net drop-down in water table every year.
- ⇒ Near by water bodies with their catchments have to be furnished on map.
- ⇒ Waste water generation quantity, treatment, disposal etc. has not been dealt in detail.
- ⇒ It is advised to install RO plant for waste water treatment so that total waste water may be utilized for various purposes accordingly plan has to be submitted.
- $\Rightarrow$  Who is going to operate & maintain the STP?

#### Air:

- ⇒ Major sources of air pollution during construction are: loading-unloading of construction material, transportation of the materials, digging/dredging etc. all such activities are to be addressed in detail.
- ⇒ Major sources of air pollution during operation are: increased traffic movement / congestion in the area provision of free flowing parking zone has to be prepared keeping in view the other proposed or existing complexes in the vicinity. DG set operation is likely to affect the air quality fuel quality has to ensured, stack height of 30 mts has been proposed from the roof the same may be re-examined as it appears to be too high. Concrete plan for mitigating the like damages has to be submitted with evaluation of air pollution expected from the project.

### **Solid waste management:**

- ⇒ Values indicating the quantities of bio-degradable, non-degradable and the recyclable wastes appear to be too low when compared to the over all activities expected in the project basis of calculations and reasoning has to be furnished.
- ⇒ Concrete management plan for the segregated municipal solid waste has to be provided.
- ⇒ Hazardous wastes expected from DG set (spent oil, filters, containers etc.) have not been dealt.
- ⇒ Is any health care facility (hospital, nursing home, dispensary etc.) proposed if yes than plan for disposal of the bio-medical wastes has to addressed.

#### **Miscellaneous information**

- $\Rightarrow$  Present land use of the proposed site and the near by area has to be furnished.
- ⇒ Permission /NOC from the concerned departments for land use change have to be furnished

(Suresh Chandra Jain) (K.K. Goswami)
Chairman SEAC Member SEAC

(Dr. A.K. Goyal) Invitee Expert



- ⇒ No. of tress existing in the proposed site with detail note including the felling plan (if any) has to be furnished and addressed.
- ⇒ Approval of the maps from Town & Country planning to be furnished.
- ⇒ Building permission from the concerned departments to be furnished.
- ⇒ Lay out map showing the location of the surrounding features to be furnished.
- ⇒ Detailed lay out showing green cover has to be furnished.
- ⇒ Analyses of soil texture, type etc. to be furnished.
- ⇒ Slope contours at 0.5 meters interval to be furnished to examine the problem of water logging if any in the area.
- ⇒ Green belt should be at least 33% of the total area of the project.
- $\Rightarrow$  Green area rating has to be reported.
- ⇒ Use of fly-ash bricks & municipal solid waste and solar energy should ensured wherever possible, accordingly plan to be submitted.

### 16. Case No. 91/2008

#### **EIA** presentation

M/s Mohta Cement (P) Ltd. 103, Amardarshan Building 28/2, Old Palasia Indore (M.P)

Project: Expansion of Cement production capacity of M/s Mohta Cement (P) Ltd. 30 TPD to 300 TPD. Site: 61/62 Sector -3 Pithampur Distt. Dhar (M.P)

It is a case for expansion of production capacity from 30 TPD to 300 TPD.

Cement plants with  $\geq 1.0$  million tonnes/annum production capacity are listed at S.N. 3(b) of schedule under 'B' Category of EIA Notification, 2006 and are to be appraised by SEAC. Accordingly the project was appraised by the SEAC in the meeting held on 30/06/2008. The documents submitted by the proponent were insufficient for appraisal in the meeting hence the committee directed the applicant to submit various details pertaining to the project such as the *proposed TOR*, map showing existing infrastructure and proposed infrastructure, layout with respect to available land and roof water harvesting etc. The requisite details were submitted by the applicant and after detail deliberation on the salient features of the project; TOR was issued to the applicant for EIA/EMP in the  $11^{th}$  meeting of SEAC dated 24/09/08.

The EIA was submitted and presented before the committee in the present meeting. As the industry is located in the notified industrial area public hearing was not conducted. After detailed deliberation on various aspects and based on the information

(Suresh Chandra Jain) Chairman SEAC (K.K. Goswami) Member SEAC

(Dr. A.K. Goyal) Invitee Expert



furnished by the Project Proponent, the committee recommended the case for grant of Environmental Clearance. The proponent shall obtain necessary consent from M.P. Pollution Control Board under the provision of Air / Water / EP Acts prior to commencement any execution.

# 17. Discussions on the reply submitted for the queries / miscellaneous submissions by the Project Proponents

#### 1. Case No. 178/2008

Mr. Gurcharan Singh, Director, BLA Power Palnt Pvt. Ltd., 84, Makers Chambers III, Nariman Point, Mumbai.

Project: Gadarwara Thermal Power Project, Village-Nimrani, The.-Gadarwara, Dist-Narsinghpur, M.P. 2x70 MW coal based Thermal Power plant

The case was presented and recommended for grant of EC in 17<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC dated 10/12/08. SEIAA further examined the case and asked clarification on following issues:

- a. The company has acquired only 78 Acres of land till date and a part of the required land is yet to be acquired. Please submit the land acquirement papers to facilitate the matter further.
- b. From the proposal it reveals that about 250 trucks of coal per day shall be transported to the site through the Gadarwara town in absence of an alternate route. This would exert adverse environmental impact. Hence company is required to submit the status of the proposed bye-pass along with appropriate explanation for the issue.

Reply submitted by the Project Proponent on above issues was placed before SEAC which revealed following:

- Proponents have submitted that they have already purchased 78.87 Acers of land and about 46 Acres of land adjacent to area already under their control in process of procurement by the Govt. of M.P., for which proponent has already deposited Rs 53,90,561 with the Acquisition Officer, Narsinghpur as 80% of cost of land. Collector has also issued notifications for the said land at Niwari and Paudi.
- PWD (B&R) has awarded the contract in October 2008 with completion time of 17 months for the proposed by-pass road. Whereas the commissioning of the 70 MW unit shall be completed only by 2011. Thus, the transportation of coal through road shall be resolved to considerable extent.

Based on the clarification submitted by the PP the <u>case is recommended for grant of</u> environmental clearance.

(Suresh Chandra Jain) (K.K. Goswami)
Chairman SEAC Member SEAC

(Dr. A.K. Goyal) Invitee Expert



#### 2. Case no. 252/2008

Vinod Kumar Gupta Gupta Sadan Lakhera Vivekanand Ward Katni (M.P)

Project: Dolomite/Marble Deposit Mine 14.39 Ha at vilage Kachhargaon Tehsil- Bahoriband, Katni (M.P)

The case presented in the 19th meeting of SEAC dated 31/01/09, for issue of TOR for the project on Dolomite/Marble Deposit Mining - Capacity -2000T/Y Area- 14.39 Ha at village Kachhargaon Tehsil- Bahoriband, Katni (M.P). The case was recommended for issue of TOR. Prior to release of TOR applicant submitted a request for amendment in the production capacity incorporating mining of dolomite blocks - 30,000 MT/Year. In view of this TOR was not issued to the applicant. The matter was placed before the committee. After examining the case committee decided to ask the applicant for submission of revised application to SEIAA.

#### 3. Case No. 112/2008

SR Ferro Alloys, Aditya Avanue, M.P. Nagar Zone -2 Bhopal (M.P)

Project: Kajali Dongari Maganese Mine at Village - Kajali Dongari, Tehsil - Meghnagar, Distt-Jhabua (M.P) Area - 30.86 Ha Cap.- 0.15 Million Ton / Year

The EIA was presented in the 18th meeting of SEAC dated 30/01/09, for issue of EC for the project on mining of Manganese at Village - Kajali Dongari, Tehsil - Meghnagar, Distt- Jhabua (M.P) Area - 30.86 Ha Cap.- 0.15 Million Ton / Year

The proponent was asked to submit clarification / commitment on the following issues:

- Distances of the villages Kajli Dongri, Rambhapur and Pipalkhoonta from the site and the criteria to be followed as per the rules.
- Written commitment to follow the best practices in mining activities to be submitted.
- The water requirement shown for 200 workers at site appears to be on lower side hence in view of actual water requirement some more source of water has to be explored and submitted.
- Possibility of using Solar Pumps to draw water may also be explored.

Based on the clarification and information furnished by the PP, the committee recommended the case for grant of Environmental Clearance.

(Suresh Chandra Jain) Chairman SEAC (K.K. Goswami) Member SEAC

(Dr. A.K. Goyal) Invitee Expert



#### 4. Case No. 44/2008

M/s. Parasvanath Developers Ltd., 6th Floor, Arunachal Building, 19 Barakhamba Road, New Delhi - 110 001

Township Project - Parasvnath city, Phase- 1 Vill. Raukhedi, Teh. Sanwer, Dist. Indore Plot Area: 80.6 Acers - Submission of information / documents.

The case was presented before SEAC in its 2nd meeting dated 13/14 May 2008 followed by a query reply presentation in 16th meeting dated 15/11/2008. The project proponent was asked to submit following information along with the supporting documents (vide letter no. 881 dated 04/12/08):

- Permission from Central Ground Water Board.
- Plan on the lay out map depicting the locations of the proposed borings, keeping a safe distance of at least 150m between each boring.
- As the project is going to be executed in phases, a phase wise water demand has to be evaluated and reported.

PP has submitted reply to the above queries, but the PP has failed to submit the NOC/Permission from Central Ground Water Authority for abstraction of ground water. Thus committee decided to detain case till submission of the said permission.

#### 5. Case no. 120/2008

Shri. Manish Somani, 12, Neelkanth colony, Near Jhansi chauraha, Indore. M.P. [Unit: Krishna Metal Agency Plot no. 158/B, Industrial Area, Sector-F, Sanwer road, Indore. M.P.]

Project: Reclaiming of Lead Ingots through Lead-Acid Battery recycling. (1000 MT/Y)

The proposed industry is covered under the category 3(a) (c) (i)/ B of the schedule of EIA Notification, 2006. Which covers all Secondary metallurgical processing industries producing toxic and heavy-metal with capacity <20,000 tonnes /annum & located in a notified industrial area/ estate. Thus has to be appraised by SEAC.

The EIA was presented in the 19th meeting of SEAC dated 31/01/09, for issue of EC for the project on Reclaiming of Lead Ingots through Lead-Acid Battery recycling. (1000 MT/Y). The unit is small scale industry proposed in the notified industrial area in Indore. After deliberation the project proponent was asked to submit the following information:

- Detailed & factual analyses of the raw material and the slag from approved laboratory.
- Plan on map clearly showing the scheme of plantation in the industry premises.

(Suresh Chandra Jain) Chairman SEAC (K.K. Goswami) Member SEAC

(Dr. A.K. Goyal) Invitee Expert



- Environmental monitoring (air) has to be conducted by the authorized laboratory.
- Quantification of various wastes including the plastic covers has to be evaluated along with the disposal of each of these wastes.
- In view of water requirement in the process permission / commitment from the concerned agencies should be submitted.

Based on the reply submitted by the Project Proponent <u>the case is recommended for grant of environmental clearance.</u> With condition that the industry shall comply with the Hazardous Waste rules where ever applicable and shall obtain authorization from M.P. Pollution Control Board and registration from Central Pollution Control Board.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair. The next meetings (i.e.  $21^{st}$  &  $22^{nd}$  meetings) were decided for  $25^{th}$  &  $26^{th}$  March respectively.

(Suresh Chandra Jain) Chairman SEAC (K.K. Goswami) Member SEAC

(Dr. A.K. Goyal) Invitee Expert

