The 147<sup>th</sup> meeting of the State Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) was held on 2<sup>nd</sup> August, 2014 under the Chairmanship of Dr. R. B. Lal. The following members attended the meeting-

- 1. Dr. MohiniSaxena, Member
- 2. Shri K.P. Nyati, Member
- 3. Shri U.R. Singh, Member
- 4. Shri Manoj Pradhan, Member
- 5. Shri Manohar K. Joshi, Member
- 6. Shri Ram Krishna Maheshwari, Member
- 7. Shri A.A. Mishra, Secretary

The Chairman welcomed all the members of the Committee and thereafter agenda items were taken up for deliberations.

**Consideration of the Projects** – Following projects were taken up for deliberations one by one:

**1. Case No. – 1705/2013** Shri Raghuraj Singh Chourdiya S/o Shri Fateh Singh Chourdiya, R/o Rajmandir Complex, Neemuch (M.P.) 400 055-Kandaka Limestone Mine at Survey No. – 142/2, Village - Kandaka, Tehsil – Jawad, Distt- Neemuch (M.P.) Lease Area – 5.00 ha. Capacity – 5,00,000 MT/Annum.

Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. It was observed that the proponent is not turning up for presentation despite reminders, hence Committee decided to provide a <u>last chance</u> to the PP and call him in the next/subsequent meeting as per turn.

2. Case No. - 730/2012 Shri TarunKathuria, Director, M/s A.R. Infrastructure Private Ltd. 15, UGF, Indra Prakash Building, 21, Barakhamba Road, New Delhi – 110001 edgroup housing project Cat. 8(b), at TalavaliChanda (Gram & Moja), Distt. Indore, (M.P.) Total Plot Area - 199222.618 m², Built Up Area - 206937.46 m². ToR issued vide letter no 266 dt. 11/03/13 EIA Building Construction Building Construction Project.

Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. It was observed that the proponent is not turning up for presentation despite reminders, hence Committee decided to provide a <u>last chance</u> to the PP and call him in the next/subsequent meeting as per turn.

3. Case No. – 1661/2013 – M/s Arms Real Estate Developers Pvt. Ltd., Raj Bisen, Director Arms Manor, 9 FF, Scheme No.- 54, Vijay Nagar, Indore (M.P.) z452010 — The Empress Residential Project, Plot No. – 58/2 and 58/3/2, Opp. Ashirwad Villa, Village – Nipania, Tehsil – Indore, Distt. – Indore (M.P.) Plot Area – 9470 M², Built up Area – 21177.76 M² Ground Coverage – 2083.2 M² Building Construction Project.

Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. It was observed that the proponent is not turning up for presentation despite reminders, hence Committee decided to provide a <u>last chance</u> to the PP and call him in the next/subsequent meeting as per turn.

4. Case No. – 1684/2013 M/s Siddivinayak Developers, Propritor Mr. kailashRaghuwanshi, FF - 29, 30, Shekhar Villa, 06, Scheme No. – 54, Vijay Nagar, Indore - (M.P) -452010 Building Construction. "Maple Woods " Chugh Reality Pvt. Limited, ChughInfrastructyre Pvt. Ltd. and Developers Siddhi Vinayak Developers at Khasra No. – 41/1, 41/2, 43, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61/2 and 92, Village- Pipliyakumar, Tehsil & District – Indore (M.P.), Plot Area – 63 410 M², Built up Area – 147128.75 M², Ground Coverage – 19023 M². (Earlier case was scheduled 137th SEAC Meeting dated 24/07/13) Building Construction Project.

Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. It was observed that the proponent is not turning up for presentation despite reminders, hence Committee decided to provide a <u>last chance</u> to the PP and call him in the next/subsequent meeting as per turn.

5. Case No. – 1729/2013 Mr. Kumar Purushottam, Chief Executive Officer, PragatiBhawan, Press Complex, M.P. Nagar, Zone- I, Bhopal - (M.P) - 462001 Building Construction. MahalaxmiAwasiyaParisar, at Near Pull Bogda, Barkhedi, District - Bhopal (M.P.), Total Land Area - 30311.10 Sqm, Building Area - 56832.10 Sqm, No. of floors - basement + ground + ten. Building Construction Project.

This is a building / construction project comprising development of township. The project is covered under item 8 of the EIA notification and has to be appraised by SEIAA/SEAC for grant of prior EC under the provisions of the said notification. The application along with the necessary documents was forwarded by SEIAA to SEAC for appraisal. The project shall be executed by the Bhopal Development Authority. The salient features and the EMP was presented by the PP before the the SEAC in this meeting. The presentation and discussion revealed following:

## Salient features of the project:

Project : MahalaxmiAwasiyaParisar - Mid Rise

**Group Housing Project** 

➤ Total Plot Area
 ➤ Total Built-Up Area
 ➤ Total capital Cost
 : 30311.10 Sqm.
 : 56832.00 Sqm.
 ➤ Rs. 222.00 Crores

No. of Dwelling Units
 Expected Population
 Water Requirement
 840 Units
 4200 (Approx.)
 570 KL/day

➤ Source of Water : Municipal Corporation, Bhopal

➤ Power Requirement : 3205 KVA

➤ Source of Power : MPSEB+ SOLAR ENERGY

➤ Solid Waste Generation : 1.728 MT/day➤ Waste Water Generation : 490 KL/day

#### **Area Statement**

➤ Total land area = 30311.10 SQM ➤ Net planning area = 28416.17 SQM

Permissible ground coverage = 8524.85 SQM (30%)

➤ Proposed ground coverage = 6045.33 SQM (21%)

▶ Permissible F.A.R. = 56832.34 SQM
 ▶ Proposed F.A.R. = 56832.00 SQM

➤ Permissible Height = 30.0 MT.

## Green area percentage

➤ Total Land Area = 30311.10 Sqm

Green / Open Area as Per T &CP = 6399.29Sqm. (22.5 %)
 Additional Green / Open as Per Govt. Order = 1894.93 Sqm (6.25%)

### Construction Phase:

➤ Water Requirement for Construction : 140 KLD (Apporx.)

➤ For Labour Camp : 30 KLD

> Total Water Requirement during Construction phase : 170 KLD

➤ The Estimated Sewage Quantity would be around : 25 KLD

## **Operation Phase:**

➤ Water Requirement during Operation Phase would be : 570 KLD

➤ The Estimated Sewage Quantity would be Around : 490 KLD

# Solid waste management

S. No.	<b>Description of Modules</b>	Treatment & Disposal of Total Solid Waste
1.	Total domestic waste generation (Plastic, Glass, paper and other wastes) ( 450 gm per person)	1.706 MT/Day (per house collection will be done manually) & it Will be sent to Municipal waste sites/collected by private vendors
2.	STP Sludge (4 to 5 kg per 100 KLD Capacity)	22 KG/Day Disposed off through Municipal tankers at trenching ground/ treatment plants

#### Sewerage system

# Construction phase

É The sewage quantity from labours during construction phase is considered to be 25 KL/day maximum, the sewage will be disposed in a septic tank and overflow from it will be drain to nearby nallah.

### Operation phase

- É The wastewater quantity from domestic sources is considered to be 490 KL/day maximum, out of 570 KL/day water requirement.
- É It is proposed to treat the wastewaters in a STP based on an Extended aeration Activated Sludge Process.
- É This treatment technology will suit such kind of complex.

É The treated water will be used for Toilet Flushes and Soft water for Arboriculture.

## Fire protection system

## **Underground Water Storage Tank:**

An underground water storage tank exclusively for firefighting purposes for the entire complex is 200000 liters. Beside this overhead water tanks with capacity of 25000 liters shall be placed on every building. The overflow pipe from these tanks shall lead to the domestic water tank of individual house hold to ensure that the overhead tanks are always full for emergency.

#### **Terrace Tank:**

Terrace water Tanks of 25000 Liter capacity as per NBC have been designed and marked as alternate Water Supply for Sprinkler Systems.

After deliberation committee has asked the proponent to submit response to the following queries at the earliest for further action in the matter:

- 1. The car parking spaces as submitted in the conceptual plan is on lower side as compared to number of dwelling houses. Project proponent has agreed to construct an additional floor for car parking. A committement letter for the same has to be submitted by the competent authority of BDA.
- 2. MSW storage area has to be ear-marked on the lay out and submitted along with the specifications of the same. The volume of the storage area should be such that it can hold maximum 48 hour generation of MSW.
- 3. The location of the STP may be reviewed in view of over-flow of STP due to mixing of storm water.
- 4. Mass balance of the treated grey water utilization for various purposes to be submitted.
- **6. Case No. 1670/2013**Shri Kishan Pal Singh R/o Mahindra Nagar, Nawada Bag Colony, BhindDistt. Bhind (M.P.) Expansion Gohad Stone Quarry, at Khasra No.- 04, Village Gohad, Tehsil Gohad, Distt. Bhind (M.P.) Lease Area 3.0 ha. Capacity 799730 TonnesPer Year (Existing Capa. -5000 TonnesPer Year), Lease Period 10 Year. Minor Mineral Project in less than five Ha

Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. It was observed that the proponent is not turning up for presentation despite reminders, hence Committee decided to provide a <u>last chance</u> to the PP and call him in the next/subsequent meeting as per turn.

7. Case No. 773 /2012 M/s Asnani Builder & Developers Ltd. Thtough Sh. VisanAsnani and Sh. O.P. Kriplani, 17, Zone-II, M.P. Nagar, Bhopal (M.P.) For — Building Construction. M/s Asnani Builder & Developers Ltd. Through Sh. VisanAsnani and Sh. O.P. Kriplani, Developers: Amrit Homes Pvt. Ltd. and Amrit Colonizers Pvt. Ltd., at Village- Katara, Gram Panchayat- Rapadiya, The. — Huzur, Distt. — Bhopal (M.P.) Earlier case was discussed SEAC 104<sup>th</sup> Meeting dt. 30/09/13 & 145<sup>th</sup> SEIAA Meeting dt. 31/08/13. Building Construction Project.

Neither the Project Proponent (PP) nor his representative was present to explain the query which might be raised or to make any commitment which may be desired by the committee during the deliberation. It was observed that the proponent is not turning up for presentation despite reminders, hence Committee decided to provide a <u>last chance</u> to the PP and call him in the next/subsequent meeting as per turn.

8. Case No. - 693/2012Shri M.G. Chobey, Engineer- in Chief Department of Water Resources, Tulsi Nagar, Bhopal (M.P.) Mohgaon Medium Irrigation Tank Project Catchment Area- 234.00 Sq.km., Net Catchment Area - 180 Sq.km., Gross Storage Capacity - 34.465 MCM, Live Storage Capacity - 30.1855, Gross Command Area - 2725.00 ha. Cultivable Command Area 2485 ha., at Village - Bhumma, Tehsil - Sausar, Distt. - Chindwara (M.P.) - EIA River Valley Project.

This is an independent medium size river-valley project. The EIA / EMP reports was presented and discussed before the committee in the meeting. The submissions and the presentations made by the PP reveals following:

Mohgaon IrrigationProject is a Minor irrigation project of Govt. of MP in Mahakusal region with proposed annual irrigation of 3928 Hectares (Kharif: 1938 Ha, Rabi: 1990 Ha), which benefits 10 villages of Sausar Tehsil, Chhindwara district of Madhya Pradesh.

➤ Project comprises two features i.e. Dam & Reservoir.

7 Troject comprises two reatures 1.e. Dam & Reservoir.		
Proposed area / Command area	As per EIA report	
Catchments Area - 234.00 Sqkm	Catchment Area -	234 Sq Km
Net Catchments area - 180 sqkm.	Gross storage -	24.56 MCM
Gross Storage Capacity - 34.465 mcum	Live storage -	20.28 MCM
Live Storage Capacity - 30.1855 mcum	Dam Length -	1060 m
Gross Command Area - 2725.00Hact.	Maximum Height -	29.56 m
Cultivable Command Area - 2485 Hact	Left Bank canal -	4.35 km
	Right Bank canal -	9.9 km
	<b>Cultivable Command Area</b>	- 1990 Ha

Salient features of the project

~ *******	Sanche reacures of the project			
1	Catchment Area	234 Sq.km		
	a. Geology	Partly wooded & Hilly		
2	Mean Monsoon Yield			
	a. 75% dependable annual Monsoon Yield	32.30 MCM		
3	Gross Storage Capacity	24.56 MCM		
4	Dead Storage Capacity	4.28 MCM		
5	Live Storage Capacity	20.28 MCM		
6	Percentage of gross storage to normal monsoon	82.00 %		
	75% dependable yield			
7	Percentage of Gross storage to Gross Capacity	17.00 %		
8	Maximum water level i.e. M.W.L	411.50 M		
9	Top of Bank Level i.e. T.B.L	414.50 M		
10	Lowest Sill Level i.e. L.S.L	400.80 M		
11	Nalla Bed Level	384.94 M		

#### **Location Main Dam**

Geographical - Latitude 21<sup>0</sup>41ø03ö Longitude 78<sup>0</sup>41ø12ö Village ó Bhumma, Tehsil ó Sausar, District ó Chhindwara, State - Madhya Pradesh

Other Salient Features of the Project: Already discussed with TOR.

Environment Management Plan as proposed by the PP reveals following points:

EMP for Air quality as proposed by the PP is as follows:

- ➤ Materials transported to site will be covered with tarpaulin or wetted down to reduce dust
- Regular sprinkling of water on roads and other dust prone areas.
- Avoid Traveling through residential areas as far as possible
- ➤ Limiting vehicular speed to <25 km/h.
- ➤ Vehicular movements shall be reduced during peak hours.
- Roads shall be promptly attended as and when damaged.
- ➤ Well designed Green belt (with more diversity) shall be developed in such a way that they will act as sinks to pollutants.

# EMP for Air quality as proposed by the PP is as follows:

- ➤ Proper oiling, lubricating and repairing of vehicles and machinary regularly.
- Ear plugs will be provided to workers those who are working near by noise generating sources.
- > By changing sharpened drill pins reduces noise emission
- ➤ The use of damping materials such as thin rubber/lead sheet for wrapping work places.
- > DG set should also be provided with acoustic enclosures
- Silencers shall be used wherever necessary
- Limit vehicle speed on site roads to 25 km/h
- ➤ Adequate green belt will be developed at noise emanating sources
- ➤ Vehicular movement shall be reduced during peak hours
- ➤ Road damage caused by project related activities will be promptly attended to with proper road repair and maintenance work.
- ➤ Vehicle movement will be restricted during night to avoid disturbance/inconvenience to people residing and wild life.

# EMP for Air quality as proposed by the PP is as follows:

- ➤ The soil removed during the excavation will be stacked separately and will be used for the green belt development
- ➤ Due to civil activities the top soil may contaminate by falling cement and concrete materials. Proper mitigations shall be taken to reduce contamination.
- ➤ Vehicles shall be parked at a designated places and spillages of oil shall be avoided.
- > Organic manures should be used in place of chemicals fertilizers,
- ➤ Land conservation measures shall be taken for control of erosion by surface runoff.
- > Tree should have small and attenuated crown which allows light to filter through;

## Impacts &EMP for Soil quality as proposed by the PP is as follows:

- ➤ Due to construction of dam there will be substantial increase in availability of water for both irrigation and drinking purposes.
- > Some adverse impacts can also be identified like improper distribution of water leads to conflict between water users, therefore leading to disturbance to irrigation network.
- ➤ Water logging and salinity aspects The water holding capacity of the soils of the command area is low to medium and the water table levels in the command area on an average is well below the ground surface, there is no need to take any

- special efforts to lower down the sub-soil water table in the areas under normal conditions. Ground water found in these areas is free from salinity problems.
- ➤ The ground water table is expected to rise, due to the reservoir impoundment in the submerged area.
- The ground water regime in the canal alignment would generally remain unaffected as the canal is proposed to be lined.
- The ground water regime in the command areas of the link canal project would increase due to the application of irrigation water, in due course of time.
- Action may be taken to promote conjunctive use of water along with the adequate selection of cropping patterns for the region.
- ➤ Effect on water quality No change in water quality is anticipated, as there are no major industries or township discharging their wastes into the river. Further the water is stored in depressed bed near towns during monsoon for utilization in non-monsoon periods. This confirms that there will not be any change in water quality.

The Public Hearing issues were also discussed in details in the meeting.

The committee took the cognizance of the GoI, MoEFGazzette Notification dated 25/06/2014 in context to the amendment in threshold prescribed for River Valley Projects (RVP) in terms of CCA. The said amendment reveals that the RVPsøwith CCA between less than 10,000 Ha and above 2000 Ha shall require prior EC from SEIAA and RVP with CCA 10,000 Ha and above shall require EC from MoEF; this reveals that that any RVP below 2000 Ha shall not require prior EC before commencement of activity at site.

In present case it is observed that the PP has obtained TOR from SEIAA / SEAC for CCA of 2485 Ha whereas, the EIA has been submitted for a proposed CCA of only 1990 Ha.

After deliberation committee has asked the proponent to submit response to the following queries at the earliest for further action in the matter:

- 1. Justification for the reduction in the proposed Cultivable Command Area from 2485 Ha to 1990 Ha has to be furnished.
- 2. Details of financial break up for each of the activities to be taken up for implementation of EMP are to be submitted.
- 3. Point-wise public hearing issues vis-à-vis response from the project proponent & the details thereby has to be furnished. The EIA/EMP has to be revised incorporating the conclusions of the Public Hearing.
- 4. Actual statement w.r.t. compensation to the affected person vis-à-vis issues raised in the Public Hearing to be furnished.
- 5. Analyses of water and soil samples to be reviewed / re-checked w.r.t DO, TDS & conductivity and submitted along with the justification for the analytical results.
- 6. Drinking water supply is also proposed from the project; detailed plan for the same to be furnished.
- 9. Case No. -698/2012Shri S.L Jain, Chief Engineer, Dhasan Ken Basin, Department of Water Resources, Sagar Distt. Sagar (M.P.) Panchamnagar Medium Irrigation Project Proposed 9900 ha, area for irrigation, GCA 14260 ha. Catchment Area-589.24 Sq.km., at Village Near village Pagara, Tehsil –Banda Taluka, Distt. Sagar (M.P.) EIA River Valley Project.

This is an independent medium size river-valley project. The EIA / EMP reports was presented and discussed before the committee in the meeting. The submissions and the presentations made by the PP reveals following:

It was reported that this is an Independent Project and the project does not attract the general condition.

Proposed Catchment /command area		Cultivable Command Area - 9900 Ha	
Catchment area	- 589.24 Sq km	Gross Command Area - 14260 Ha	
Gross Storage Capacity	ó 107.29 MCM	Catchment Area - 1096.36 Sq Km	
Live Storage Capacity	ó 82.927 MCM	(Intercepted catchment area & net catchment	
Gross Command Area	- 14260 Ha	area 507.12 + 589.24)	
Cultivable Command Are	ea - 9900 Ha	Gross storage - 107.29 MCM (Pagara 99.773 +	
		Panchamnagar 7.517)	
		Live storage - 82.927 MCM (Pagara 78.88 +	
		Panchamnagar 4.047)	
		Dam Length - 2772 m	
		Maximum Height - 36.01 m	

## Salient features of the project:

- Panchamnagar Project ó It is a Medium Irrigation Project of Department of Water Resources in Bundelkhand Region of Madhya Pradesh.
- ➤ Pagara dam is an earthen dam and will be constructed across the Bewas river, the tributory of Sonar river in Ken river basin.
- ➤ Pagara dam feeds Panchamnagar barrage on Bewasriver in downstream. Canal system originates from Panchamnagar barrage.
- A total of 11 villages of district Sagar namely Bamana, Odaho, Chandrapura, Chakeri Shahgarh, Pagara, Sandagir, Bhedakhas, Khajari, Bhikampur (Abad), Bhikampur (Mustjari) and Bhikampur (Raiyatwari) will come under submergence.
- ➤ The total submergence area is 1685.16 ha, of which Pagara dam covers 1592.16 ha (Pvt.land ó 1107.00 ha, Govt.revenue land ó 293.44 ha., and Forest land ó 191.72 ha.) and Panchamnagarbarrge cover 93.00 ha of Govt.revenue land only.
- Formal approval of a Stage -2 forest clearance accorded by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate change, Govt.of India, New Delhi wide letter no. F.No.8-70/2012-FC dated 4<sup>th</sup> July, 2014.
- ➤ The project has CCA of 9900 ha., in 57 villages of Damoh district. This will lead to increase in cropping as well as irrigation intensity. As a result Barren areas will be converted in to productive agricultural land.

### **Location Main Dam**

Particulars	Pagara Dam	Panchamnagar PUW
Location	12Km from Banda ó Kerbana Road (near Bara village) on Left side	2.5 Km from Banda ó Kerbana Road (near Niwai village) on Left side
Village	Pagara village (NE of Mundi village and SW of Bhikampur)	Niwari (it is situated 1.8 km North of Niwari village)
District	Sagar	Damoh

Latitude	24 <sup>0</sup> 04ø41ö N	24 <sup>0</sup> 02ø51ö N
Longitude	79 <sup>0</sup> 04ø48öE	79 <sup>0</sup> 10ø50ö E
Particulars	Pagara Dam	Panchamnagar PUW
Nearest Highway	NH-26	NH-26
Nearest Railway Stations	Pathariya (33 km) Sagar (48.16 Km)	Pathariya (21 km) Sagar (58.00 Km)
Nearest Airport	Bhopal (240 Km)	Bhopal (250 Km)
Nearest Town	Sagar (50 Km)	Sagar (60 Km)
Defense Installations	Mahar Regimental Center, Sagaur	Mahar Regimental Center, Saugar
Historical Places nearby	None	None

## **Proposed EMP:**

# EMP to maintain Air quality-

- Materials transported to site will be covered/wetted down to reduce dust
- Regular sprinkling of water on the roads and other dust prone areas.
- ➤ Avoid Traveling through residential areas as far as possible
- Limit vehicle speed on site roads to 15 km/hr
- Vehicular movement shall be reduced during peak hours
- Road damage caused by project related activities shall be promptly attended.
- > To avoid fugitive emission the stock piling of excavated material will be kept covered.
- ➤ Well designed Green belt (with more diversity) shall be developed in such a way that they will act as sinks to pollutant.

#### EMP to maintain Noise level-

- > Proper oiling, lubricating and repairing of vehicles and machinary regularly.
- Ear plugs will be provided to workers those who are working near by noise generating sources.
- > Sharpened drill pins reduces noise emission
- The use of damping materials such as thin rubber/lead sheet for wrapping work places.
- ➤ DG set should be provided with acoustic enclosures
- Silencers shall be used wherever necessary
- Limit vehicle speed on site roads to 15 km/hr
- Adequate green belt will be developed at noise emanating sources
- > Vehicular movement shall be reduced during peak hours
- Road damage caused by project related activities shall be promptly attended
- ➤ Vehicle movement will be restricted during night to avoid disturbance/inconvenience to people residing and wild life.

#### EMP to maintain Water Environment-

- Regular check up shall be done for water borne diseases.
- > Reduce the usage of chemical fertilizers

- > Periodic clearing of weeds in storage tanks, trimming shrubs and maintaining fence.
- Avoid water stagnation in the areas where people are living.
- Artificial recharge practices in rural areas should be taken up to improve the ground water situation.
- ➤ Change in cropping pattern is another measure which is to be taken care to save the ground water resources.

#### EMP to maintain Soil Environment-

- ➤ The soil removed during the excavation will be stacked separately and will be used for the green belt development
- ➤ Due to civil activities the top soil may contaminate by falling cement and concrete materials. Proper mitigations shall be taken to reduce contamination.
- Proper irrigation management can reduce the soil seepage and increase irrigation efficiency
- ➤ Vehicles shall be parked at a designated places and avoid spillages of oil.
- > Organic manures should be used in place of chemicals fertilizers,
- ➤ Land conservation measures shall be taken for control of erosion by surface runoff.

### Cost Estimate of R&R plan

R& R Plan was discussed in detail. The cost of R&R plan implementation as proposed was:

- ➤ Detailed cost estimates have been prepared for land acquisition and rehabilitation including infrastructural facilities for the outstees.
- These are based on property survey carried out by the department.
- ➤ It is proposed to develop R& R sites in village Dilakhedi (20 ha) in tehsil Banda and village Bhikampur (15 ha) in Sahagrh Tehsil of Sagar district, where 35 ha of land has been allocated for development of sites.

	(Rs.Lac)
Land Acquisition	7899.51
Resettlement	2848.41
Total	10748.12

The Public Hearing issues were also discussed in detail.

After deliberation committee has asked the proponent to submit response to the following queries at the earliest for further action in the matter:

- 1. Details of financial break up for each of the activities to be taken up for implementation of EMP are to be submitted.
- 2. It was reported by the proponents that a part of the land under acquisition for the project in submergence area is subjudice in High Court. PP is required to submit a detailed note on the status of the same.
- 3. Analyses of water and soil samples to be reviewed / re-checked w.r.t TDS / conductivity and submitted along with the justification for the analytical results.
- 4. Drinking water supply is also proposed from the project; detailed plan for the same to be furnished.

5. Point-wise public hearing issues vis-à-vis response from the project proponent & the details thereby has to be furnished. The EIA/EMP has to be revised incorporating the conclusions of the Public Hearing.

# **Discussion on Queries and other issues**

1. Case No. -665/2012Shri B.M. Agarwal, Senior Consultant, SADA (Special Area Development Authority) Counter Magnet, 12, City Centre, Gwalior -474001 Township project 805 ha. at village sojna, Tighra, Kaitha, Kulaith, Teh&Distt. Gwalior-M.P.ToR issued on 18.04.12. EIA received on 17-10-12 & sent to SEAC on 29-11-12. The case was discussed in 145 SEIAA meeting.

The information submitted by the PP is incomplete hence committee decided to ask the PP for complete submission of the same at the earliest.

2. Case No. 669/2012-Sh. Pawan Kumar Ahluwalia, M.D., M/s K.J. S. Cement Ltd., N.H.-7, Village- Amiliya, Lakhwar Tehsil - Maihar, Distt. - Satna(M.P.)-BhatiaLimestone Mine at Khasra No 1014, 1015, 1029, 1031, 1032, 1035, 1036, 1037, 1039, 1040,1047, 1048, 1051, 1052, 1053, 1054, 1055, 1056, 1057, 1058, 1059, 1060, 1061, 1062, 1063,1064, 1065, 1103, 1105, 1106, 1107, 1116, 1118, 1121, 1123, 1124, 1125, 1126. Village –Bhatiya, Tehsil – Maihar, Distt.-Satna (M.P.) Capacity - 5.0 lacs TPA, Lease Area - 45.888ha. For TOR Validity Extension (ToR - issued 95 SEAC Meeting- letter no. 317 dt. 22/06/2012).

This request of PP received for extension of validity of TOR. It is observed that the TOR was issued to the PP on 22/06/2012. The draft EIA for the project is reported to be complete and the Public Hearing has been delayed due to elections. The request was submitted by the PP vide letter dated 19/06/2014. As SEAC was defunct during this period no decision could be taken on the matter. After scrutinizing the case, Committee has agreed to allow the requested extension only for six months subject to the following conditions:

- The maximum validity of TOR shall be up to 21/12/2014, there after no extension shall be allowed.
- ➤ Baseline data used in the EIA report should not be older than two years.
- ➤ All terms of reference issued vide referred letter no. 317 dated 22/06/2012 shall be applicable for preparation of EIA and EMP for the said project.
- ➤ This letter shall be placed and read with original TOR issued vide referred letter no. 317 dated 22/06/2012.
- 3. Case No. 670/2012Sh. Pawan Kumar Ahluwalia, M.D., M/s K.J. S. Cement Ltd., N.H.-7, Village- Amiliya, Lakhwar Tehsil Maihar, Distt. Satna(M.P.)-Barahia Limestone Mine at Khasra No. 229 250, 344-359, 364-380, 411, 412, 413, 414/1- 2, 415,416, 417, 418/2,418/1, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423/2, 424 Village Barahia, Tehsil Maihar, Distt. Satna (M.P.) Capacity- 30,000 TPA, Lease Area 7.102 ha. For TOR Validity Extenssion. (ToR issued 95 SEAC Meeting letter no. 319 dt. 22/06/2012)

This request of PP received for extension of validity of TOR. It is observed that the TOR was issued to the PP on 22/06/2012. The draft EIA for the project is reported to be

complete and the Public Hearing has been delayed due to elections. The request was submitted by the PP vide letter dated 19/06/2014. As SEAC was defunct during this period no decision could be taken on the matter. After scrutinizing the case, Committee has agreed to allow the requested extension only for six months subject to the following conditions:

- ➤ The maximum validity of TOR shall be up to 21/12/2014, there after no extension shall be allowed.
- ➤ Baseline data used in the EIA report should not be older than two years.
- All terms of reference issued vide referred letter no. 319 dated 22/06/2012 shall be applicable for preparation of EIA and EMP for the said project.
- ➤ This letter shall be placed and read with original TOR issued vide referred letter no. 319 dated 22/06/2012.
- SEIAA letter no. 1917/13 dated 07/11/2013 Case no. 229/2008 -M/s Twenty First Century Developers Pvt. Ltd. 6<sup>th</sup> Floor, Treasure Island -II, Tukoganj, Main Road, Indore (M.P) Town ship project at Village- Bijalpur, Tehsil & District Indore: PP: (T. Plot area 6,64,430 m2 and total built up area 5,80,000 m2) Building Construction Project.

The case was recommended by the SEAC in the 137<sup>th</sup> Meeting dated 24/07/2013. SEIAA vide its letter has pointed out that no comments have been given on the violation. After examining the matter committee is of the view that the violation in the project has already been established through site visit by the sub-committee of SEAC and the same has been reported in the site-visit report. During appraisal & scrutiny of the case SEAC did not observe any negative environmental issue hence the case was recommended based on the merits of the project.

However, the EC for the project can be issued only after compliance of the GoI, MoEF O.M. dated 12/12/2012 i.e. after ensuring credible action against the PP. Accordingly, response may be submitted to SEIAA.

- 5. Case no. 497/2010 ó M/s Milan Realities Construction of HighriseMultistoriesResidential Project at Village- BicholiMardana, Mardana- A representationfrom PP has been received at SEAC a copy which has been submitted to SEIAA also. The case has been recommended by the SEAC and presently is with SEIAA hence it was decided to forward the letter to SEIAA in original for appropriate action in the matter.
- **6. Case no. 880/2012-** M/sLaxmi Gour Marble- Marblequarry in MLA- 1.17 Ha. ó The case is with SEIAA hence the original letter of the PP maybeforwarded to SEIAA for furthe necessary action in the matter.

It was decided to hold the next meeting of the SEAC on 25th August, 2014.

Meeting ended with thanks to the Chair and the Members.

(R.B. Lal) Chairman